Rapoport v Financial Indus. Regulatory Auth., Inc. (FINRA)

2022 NY Slip Op 34320(U)

December 12, 2022

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 653933/2022

Judge: Laurence L. Love

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 13

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 12/19/2022

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT:	HON. LAURENCE L. LOVE	PART	63M		
		Justice			
		X INDEX NO.	653933/2022		
STEVEN W.	RAPOPORT,	MOTION DATE	12/09/2022		
	Petitioner,	MOTION SEQ. NO.	001		
	- V -				
THE FINANCIAL INDUSTRY REGULATORY AUTHORITY, INC. (FINRA), DPEC CAPITAL, INC., WESTROCK ADVISORS, INC.		DECISION +	DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION		
	Respondent.				
		X			
The following	e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF docu	ıment number (Motion 001) 2,	8, 12		
were read on	this motion to/for CC	CONFIRM/DISAPPROVE AWARD/REPORT .			
Upon the fore	egoing documents, the Petition is resolv	ved as follows:			

Petitioner Steven W. Rapoport ("Rapoport" or "Petitioner"), brings this Special Proceeding pursuant to Article 75 of the CPLR, seeking an order confirming the award issued in the arbitration held under the auspices of the FINRA Office of Dispute Resolution, captioned Steven W. Rapoport vs. DPEC Capital, Inc. and Westrock Advisors, Inc., FINRA Case No. 21-02391 (the "FINRA Arbitration"), recommending the expungement of all references to the FINRA Arbitration (Occurrence Numbers 1132568, 1393446 and 1398385) contained in the Central Registration Depository ("CRD") records for Petitioner (CRD # 8361).

Non-party Randall Steinmeyer ("Steinmeyer") is the underlying customer concerning Occurrence Number 1132568 (the "Steinmeyer Occurrence"). Mr. Steinmeyer filed a false customer complaint against Petitioner with InvestPrivate which was reported on Petitioner's registration records under Occurrence Number 1132568. Non-party Gregory Harrell ("Harrell") is the underlying customer concerning Occurrence Number 1393446 (the "Harrell Occurrence"). Mr.

653933/2022 RAPOPORT, STEVEN W. vs. THE FINANCIAL INDUSTRY REGULATORY AUTHORITY, INC. (FINRA) ET AL Motion No. 001

Page 1 of 4

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 13 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 12/19/2022

Harrell filed a false customer complaint against Petitioner with Westrock which was reported on

Petitioner's registration records under Occurrence Number 1393446. On or about September 21,

2021, Petitioner filed a Statement of Claim in the Arbitration, seeking expungement of the

Occurrences from his CRD registration records. Due to the Claimants' Statements of Claim,

Petitioner's public registration records with the CRD now contain references to Claimants'

allegations. On May 6, 2022, FINRA held a hearing by videoconference, with recorded audio,

before the Arbitrator concerning Petitioner's request for expungement. As noted in the Award,

DPEC and Westrock chose not to participate in the evidentiary hearing, nor did any of the

Customers despite having notice of same. After considering the pleadings, testimony and evidence

presented at the hearing, the Arbitrator signed and acknowledged the Award, which, in full and

final resolution of the issues submitted for determination, recommended that, upon confirmation

of the Award by a court of competent jurisdiction, all references to the Occurrence be expunged

from Petitioner's registration records maintained by CRD. Pursuant to CPLR § 7510, Petitioner

now seeks confirmation from this court of the arbitration award.

DISCUSSION

CPLR § 7510

CPLR § 7510 states: "The court shall confirm an award upon application of a party made

within one year after its delivery to him, unless the award is vacated or modified upon a ground

specified in section 7511." N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 7510. The First Department, in interpreting CPLR §

7510, gives "the word 'shall' its ordinary meaning" and the Court is "directed unequivocally by

CPLR 7510 to confirm an arbitration award if a timely application is made whenever the award is

not vacated or modified under CPLR 7511." Bernstein Family Ltd. P'ship v. Sovereign Partners,

L.P., 66 A.D.3d 1, 5 (1st Dept 2009). As long as the Respondent is not seeking to vacate or modify

653933/2022 RAPOPORT, STEVEN W. vs. THE FINANCIAL INDUSTRY REGULATORY AUTHORITY, INC. (FINRA) ET AL Motion No. 001

Page 2 of 4

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 13 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 12/19/2022

the award, the court does not run into the problem of inserting itself into dispute resolution when

only confirmation of an arbitration award has been sought. See id at 7.

Here, Petitioner, in seeking the confirmation of the Expungement Award, has satisfied

all of the procedural requirements. Petitioner has made a timely application within one year of

receipt of the award. Respondent has had ample notice and has made no motion to modify or to

vacate the Expungement Award and does not contest Petitioner's efforts to have the Expungement

Award confirmed by this court.

FINRA's Rule and Venue

FINRA Rule 2080(a) provides that a petitioner, who seeks to expunge information from

his CRD record, "must obtain an order from a court of competent jurisdiction directing such

expungement or confirming an arbitration award containing expungement relief." Additionally,

FINRA Rule 2080(b) requires a petitioner seeking expungement under 2080(a) to name FINRA

as an additional party and serve FINRA with all appropriate documents, unless FINRA waives this

obligation upon request of petitioner. FINRA granted Petitioner's request for a waiver of the

obligation to name and serve FINRA. (See Petition, Exhibit D.)

Venue is proper as there is no specification giving sole jurisdiction to a different tribunal

and the underlying FINRA Arbitration was heard in New York County. See e.g., Big-W Const.

Corp. v. Horowitz, 24 Misc. 2d 145, 148 (Sup. Ct. 1959), aff'd. 14 A.D.2d 817 (2d Dept 1961)

("In the absence of a specification in a contract or submission to arbitration giving sole jurisdiction

to a particular tribunal 'the supreme court for the county in which one of the parties resides or is

doing business, or in which the arbitration was held, shall have jurisdiction"). Therefore, this Court

has jurisdiction to confirm the Expungement Award.

Accordingly, it is,

653933/2022 RAPOPORT, STEVEN W. vs. THE FINANCIAL INDUSTRY REGULATORY AUTHORITY, INC. (FINRA) ET AL

Motion No. 001

Page 3 of 4

3 of 4

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 13 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 12/19/2022

ADJUDGED that the petition is granted, and the Expungement Award rendered in favor of Petitioner and against Respondent is confirmed; and it is further

ADJUDGED that this Court directs entry of judgment of the Expungement Award; and it is further

ADJUDGED that there be an expungement of all references to the FINRA arbitration captioned Steven W. Rapoport vs. DPEC Capital, Inc. and Westrock Advisors, Inc., FINRA Case No. 21-0239 all references to the customer complaints as reported on Petitioner's registration records maintained by FINRA's Central Registration Depository under Occurrence Numbers 1132568, 1393446 and 1398385 and BrokerCheck records.

12/12/2022					
DATE				LAURENCE L. LOV	E, J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	Х	CASE DISPOSED		NON-FINAL DISPOSITION	
	Х	GRANTED DENIED		GRANTED IN PART	OTHER
APPLICATION:		SETTLE ORDER		SUBMIT ORDER	
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:		INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN		FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT	REFERENCE