NO. COA02-705

NORTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

Filed: 18 March 2003

PROGRESSIVE LIGHTING, INC.,
Plaintiff,

V.

HISTORIC DESIGNS, INC.,
Defendant.

Appeal by defendant from judgment entered 12 March 2002 by the Clerk of Superior Court of Cabarrus County. Heard in the Court of Appeals 17 February 2003.

Helms, Henderson & Associates, by Christian R. Troy, for plaintiff-appellee.

Ferguson and Scarbrough, P.A., by James E. Scarbrough, for defendant-appellant.

MARTIN, Judge.

Plaintiff filed a complaint seeking to recover a sum certain plus interest due on a statement of account for goods sold to defendant. Defendant, a North Carolina corporation, filed an answer, appearing pro se through its corporate secretary, who is not an attorney. Plaintiff moved to strike the answer as being in violation of G.S. § 84-5 and to enter a default judgment against defendant. On 23 July 2001, the district court granted the motion to strike the defendant's answer, but denied the motion for default judgment; defendant was given thirty days within which "to file an answer through an attorney." Upon defendant's failure to file an answer, default judgment in favor of plaintiff was entered by the clerk of superior court on 12 March 2002. Plaintiff gave notice of

appeal to this Court from the default judgment entered by the clerk.

Assigning error both to the entry of the order striking its answer and to the entry of default judgment, defendant seeks to present the issue of whether, in North Carolina, a corporation may represent itself pro se through its corporate officers. However, defendant has no right of direct appeal to this Court from the default judgment entered by the clerk of superior court. Appeal from an order or judgment of the clerk of superior court entered in a civil action is to the appropriate division of the trial court.

N.C. Gen. Stat. § 1-301.1. Therefore, this Court has no jurisdiction and the appeal must be dismissed.

Appeal dismissed.

Chief Judge EAGLES and Judge GEER concur.

 $^{^{1}} The$ issue has been answered adversely to defendant in LexisNexis v. Travishan Corp., ___ N.C. App. ___, 573 S.E.2d 547 (2002).