

[Cite as *White v. Suster*, 2004-Ohio-5018.]

COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO, EIGHTH DISTRICT

COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA

No. 85103

STATE OF OHIO, EX REL.,	:	ORIGINAL ACTION
DEWIGHT WHITE	:	
	:	JOURNAL ENTRY
Relator	:	AND
	:	OPINION
vs.	:	
	:	
RONALD SUSTER, JUDGE	:	
	:	
Respondent	:	

DATE OF JOURNALIZATION: SEPTEMBER 21, 2004

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDINGS: WRIT OF MANDAMUS

JUDGMENT: Writ Dismissed.
Motion No. 363092 and 363716
Order No. 363801

APPEARANCES:

For Relator: DEWIGHT WHITE
Inmate No. 331-976
Ri.C.I.
P.O. Box 8107
Mansfield, Ohio 44901

For Respondent: WILLIAM D. MASON
Cuyahoga County Prosecutor
BY: DIANE SMILANICK
Assistant County Prosecutor

Justice Center - 9th Floor
1200 Ontario Street
Cleveland, Ohio 44113

Judge Kenneth A. Rocco:

{¶ 1} Relator requests that this court compel respondent court to rule on relator's motion for nunc pro tunc to correct erred judgment of sentence, journal entry of March 28, 1996 ("motion for nunc pro tunc") in *State v. White*, Cuyahoga County Court of Common Pleas Case No. CR-333432. White filed the motion for nunc pro tunc on April 3, 2003. He requests that this court compel respondent judge to rule on the motion for nunc pro tunc.

{¶ 2} A review of the docket in Case No. CR-333432 reflects that respondent issued a journal entry denying the motion for nunc pro tunc. The clerk entered that motion on September 7, 2004. As a consequence, this action in mandamus is, therefore, moot.

{¶ 3} Additionally, White has failed to comply with Loc.App.R. 45(B)(1)(a) which requires that complaints in original actions be supported by an affidavit from the plaintiff or relator specifying the details of the claim. In his affidavit, White avers "that I have red [sic] the foregoing Complaint and that the Statements and Averments therein contained are true facts to the best of my knowledge and memory." This conclusory statement is not sufficient to satisfy the requirement of Loc.App.R. 45(B)(1)(a) that the affidavit supporting the complaint specify *the details* of the claim. "The absence of facts specifying the details of the claim required by Loc.App.R. 45(B)(1)(a) is a ground for dismissal." *State ex rel. Sansom v. Wilkinson*, Cuyahoga App. No. 80743, 2002-Ohio-1385, at 7. See also *State v. Sawyer*,

Cuyahoga App. No. 83682, 2004-Ohio-516.

{¶ 4} Accordingly, we dismiss this action sua sponte. Respondent to pay costs. The clerk is directed to serve upon the parties notice of this judgment and its date of entry upon the journal. Civ.R. 58(B).

Writ dismissed.

KENNETH A. ROCCO
JUDGE

MICHAEL J. CORRIGAN, A.J., CONCURS

ANNE L. KILBANE, J., CONCURS