

[Cite as *Cleveland v. Destiny Ventures, L.L.C.*, 2010-Ohio-3056.]

# Court of Appeals of Ohio

EIGHTH APPELLATE DISTRICT  
COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA

---

JOURNAL ENTRY AND OPINION  
**No. 91018**

---

**CITY OF CLEVELAND**

PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE

vs.

**DESTINY VENTURES, LLC**

DEFENDANT-APPELLANT

---

**JUDGMENT:  
REVERSED AND REMANDED**

---

Criminal Appeal from the  
Cleveland Municipal Court  
Case No. 2007 CRB 42411

**BEFORE:** Cooney, P.J., Rocco, J., and Jones, J.

**RELEASED:** July 1, 2010

**JOURNALIZED:**

**ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT**

Michael A. Poklar  
34950 Chardon Road, Suite 210  
Willoughby Hills, Ohio 44094-9162

**ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLEE**

Robert J. Triozzi, Esq.  
Law Director  
Karyn J. Lynn  
Assistant Law Director  
City of Cleveland  
601 Lakeside Avenue, Room 106  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114

N.B. This entry is an announcement of the court's decision. See App.R. 22(B) and 26(A); Loc.App.R. 22. This decision will be journalized and will become the judgment and order of the court pursuant to App.R. 22(C) unless a motion for reconsideration with supporting brief per App.R. 26(A), or a motion for consideration en banc with supporting brief per Loc.App.R. 25.1(B)(2), is filed within ten days of the announcement of the court's decision. The time period for review by the Supreme Court of Ohio shall begin to run upon the journalization of this court's announcement of decision by the clerk per App.R. 22(C). See, also, S.Ct. Prac.R. 2.2(A)(1).

COLLEEN CONWAY COONEY, P.J.:

{¶ 1} Our decision has been reversed by the Ohio Supreme Court in *Cleveland v. Destiny Ventures, L.L.C.*, Slip Opinion No. 2010-Ohio-2320. Pursuant to the Supreme Court's holding in *Cleveland v. Washington Mut. Bank*, Slip Opinion No. 2010-Ohio-2219, the trial court's judgment is reversed, and the case is remanded to the Cleveland Municipal Housing Court for further proceedings not inconsistent with *Cleveland v. Washington Mut. Bank*.<sup>1</sup>

---

COLLEEN CONWAY COONEY, PRESIDING JUDGE

KENNETH A. ROCCO, J., and  
LARRY A. JONES, J., CONCUR

---

<sup>1</sup>The syllabus provides, "R.C. 2941.47 does not authorize a trial of a corporation in absentia in a criminal proceeding that is initiated by affidavit or complaint in a municipal court."