COURT OF APPEALS STARK COUNTY, OHIO FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

JUDGES:

GERALDINE JEFFERSON : Hon. W. Scott Gwin, P.J.

Hon. Sheila G. Farmer, J.

Plaintiff-Appellant : Hon. Patricia A. Delaney, J.

:

-vs- : Case No. 2008-CA-00077

FRANK STEBLER, III, ET AL :

Defendants-Appellees : <u>OPINION</u>

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDING: Civil appeal from the Stark County Court of

Common Pleas, Case No. 2007CV03153

JUDGMENT: Dismissed

DATE OF JUDGMENT ENTRY: October 20, 2008

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff-Appellant For Defendant-Appellees

Geraldine Jefferson Frank Stebler, III and Susan Stebler

STACIE L. ROTH

Allen Schulman & Associates

Carnegie Building

MEL L. LUTE, JR.

400 South Main Street

North Canton, OH 44720

Carnegie Building 236 Third Street S.W. Canton, OH 44702

For Defendant -James Strosnider

SAMUEL J. FERRUCCIO, JR.

400 Huntington Bank Plaza

220 Market Avenue, South

LAURIE A. MICKLEY

111 East Main Street
Louisville, OH 44641

220 Market Avenue, South Canton, OH 44702 Gwin, P.J.

- **{¶1}** Plaintiff Geraldine Jefferson appeals a summary judgment of the Court of Common Pleas of Stark County, Ohio, entered in favor of defendants Frank Stebler III and Susan Stebler.
- **{¶2}** The record indicates appellant sustained serious injuries after being bitten by a dog. The dog was owned and cared for by defendant James Strosnider, who is not a party to this appeal. Strosnider kept the dog at a single-family residence at 1625 Bonnot N.E., Canton, Stark County, Ohio, which he rented from the appellees, the title owners of the property.
- **{¶3}** Appellant filed a personal injury suit against Frank Stebler III, Susan Stebler, and James Strosnider. The court granted summary judgment in favor of appellees, finding they were not harborers of the dog and not liable to appellant for her injuries. The case remains pending against defendant James Strosnider.
- **{¶4}** Before addressing the merits of the appellant's arguments, we must first consider whether we have jurisdiction over this appeal.
- **{¶5}** Ohio Law provides that appellate courts have jurisdiction to review only final orders or judgments, Section III, (B)(2), Article IV, Ohio Constitution; R.C. 2505.02. If an order is not final and appealable, an appellate court has no jurisdiction to review the matter and it must be dismissed.
- **{¶6}** To be final and appealable an order must comply with both R.C. 2505.02 and Civ. R. 54 (B), if applicable.
 - **¶7**} R.C. 2505.02 states in pertinent part:

- **{¶8}** "(B) An order is a final order that may be reviewed, affirmed, modified, or reversed, with or without retrial, when it is one of the following:
- **{¶9}** "(1) An order that affects a substantial right in an action that in effect determines the action and prevents a judgment;
- **{¶10}** "(2) An order that affects a substantial right made in a special proceeding or upon a summary application in an action after judgment."
 - **{¶11}** (3) An order that vacates or sets aside a judgment or grants a new trial;
- **{¶12}** (4) An order that grants or denies a provisional remedy and to which both of the following apply:
- **{¶13}** (a) The order in effect determines the action with respect to the provisional remedy and prevents a judgment in the action in favor of the appealing party with respect to the provisional remedy.
- **{¶14}** (b) The appealing party would not be afforded a meaningful or effective remedy by an appeal following final judgment as to all proceedings, issues, claims, and parties in the action.

{¶15} ***"

{¶16} Civ. R. 54 (B) provides:

{¶17} "When more than one claim for relief is presented in an action whether as a claim, counterclaim, cross-claim, or third-party claim, and whether arising out of the same or separate transactions, or when multiple parties are involved, the court may enter final judgment as to one or more, but fewer than all of the claims or parties only upon an express determination that there is no just reason for delay. In the absence of a determination that there is no just reason for delay, any order or other form of

Stark County, Case No. 2008-CA-00077

4

decision, however designated, which adjudicates fewer than all the claims or the rights and liabilities of fewer than all the parties, shall not terminate the action as to any of the claims or parties, and the order or other form of decision is subject to revision at any time before the entry of judgment adjudicating all the claims and the rights and liabilities

of all the parties."

{¶18} In the case before us, the matter remains pending against defendant

Strosnider, and the trial court's judgment entry does not include Civ. R. 54 (B) language.

As such, we find there is no final appealable order, and we do not have jurisdiction to

entertain appellant's appeal.

{¶19} The appeal is dismissed.

By Gwin, P.J.,

Farmer, J., and

Delaney, J., concur

HON. W. SCOTT GWIN

HON. SHEILA G. FARMER

HON. PATRICIA A. DELANEY

1002:WSG:clw

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR STARK COUNTY, OHIO FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

GERALDINE JEFFERSON	:
Plaintiff-Appellant	: : :
-vs- FRANK STEBLER, III, ET AL	: JUDGMENT ENTRY :
Defendants-Appellees	: CASE NO. 2008-CA-00077
For the reasons stated in our acconappeal is dismissed. Costs to appellant.	npanying Memorandum-Opinion, the within
	HON. W. SCOTT GWIN
	HON. SHEILA G. FARMER
	HON. PATRICIA A. DELANEY