COURT OF APPEALS STARK COUNTY, OHIO FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

STATE OF OHIO : JUDGES:

Hon. W. Scott Gwin, P.J.

Plaintiff-Appellee : Hon. William B. Hoffman, J.

Hon. Patricia A. Delaney, J.

-VS-

Case No. 10-CA-166

MICHAEL STEWART

Defendant-Appellant : OPINION

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDING: Appeal from the Stark County Court of

Common Pleas Case No. 1999-CR-1409

JUDGMENT: AFFIRMED

DATE OF JUDGMENT ENTRY: April 18, 2011

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff-Appellee: For Defendant-Appellant:

RONALD MARK CALDWELL 0030663

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney Stark County Prosecutor

110 Central Plaza, South, Ste. 510

Canton, Ohio 44702

JEREMY J. MASTERS 0079587 Office of the Ohio Public Defender

250 E. Broad St., Ste. 1400 Columbus, Ohio 43215

Delaney, J.

- {¶1} Defendant-Appellant, Michael Stewart appeals the judgment of the Stark County Court of Common Pleas, which classified him as a sexual predator in 2000. However, the judgment entry that he appeals this classification from deals strictly with correcting an error regarding post-release control. The State of Ohio is Plaintiff-Appellee.
- {¶2} In 1999, Appellant was charged with one count of rape, in violation of R.C. 2907.02(A)(2), and one count of aggravated burglary, in violation of R.C. 2911.11(A)(1) for breaking into the apartment of his estranged wife and raping her. After a jury trial, Appellant was convicted of rape, but the jury was unable to come to a decision on the aggravated burglary charge. Appellant was sentenced to a term of 10 years in prison and was classified as a sexual predator after a hearing.
- {¶3} Appellant appealed his conviction to this court in *State v. Stewart*, 5th Dist. Nos. 2000CA0356, 2000CA0357, 2001-Ohio-1775, and raised three assignments of error, including an assignment that his sexual predator classification was erroneous. This Court affirmed the judgment of the Stark County Common Pleas Court.
- {¶4} Appellant subsequently filed a post-conviction petition, challenging his conviction. His claim was denied by the trial court and no appeal was taken from that judgment.
- {¶5} In 2010, Appellant was renotified of his post-release control obligations via video conference in order to correct the imposition of post-release control. In the 2000 sentencing entry, the trial court imposed a period of post-release control "up to" a maximum period of five years. In the 2010 sentencing entry, the court amended the

entry to indicate that Appellant must serve "a mandatory period of five (5) years of post-release control pursuant to R.C. 2967.28(B)." It is from this 2010 sentencing entry that Appellant now appeals.

- **{¶6}** Appellant raises one Assignment of Error:
- {¶7} "I. THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN FINDING MICHAEL STEWART TO BE A SEXUAL PREDATOR (OCTOBER 19, 2000 JOURNAL ENTRY, P. 1)."

I.

- {¶1} In Appellant's sole assignment of error, he argues that the trial court erred in classifying him as sexual predator in 2000.
- {¶2} Appellant's claims are without merit. Recently, the Ohio Supreme Court, in *State v. Fischer*, stated that "(1) when a judge fails to impose statutorily mandated post-release control as part of a defendant's sentence, that part of the sentence that was void must be set aside, and,
- {¶3} "(2) defendant's remaining claims, which did not involve a void sentence or judgment, were barred by res judicata; overruling *State v. Bezak*, 114 Ohio St.3d 94, 2007-Ohio-3250, 868 N.E.2d 961." *State v. Fischer*, 128 Ohio St.3d 92, 2010 -Ohio-6238, 942 N.E.2d 332.
- {¶4} Accordingly, Appellant is precluded from contesting his sexual predator classification from 2000.
 - {¶5} Appellant's assignment of error is overruled.

	{¶6}	For the	toregoing	reasons,	the	judgmer	nt of	the	Stark	County	Court	Of
Common Pleas is affirmed.												
By: Delaney, J.												
Gwin, P.J. and												
Hoffman, J. concur.												
				H	ON.	PATRICI	A A.	DEL	ANEY			
				Н	ON.	W. SCOT	T G	WIN				

HON. WILLIAM B. HOFFMAN

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR STARK COUNTY, OHIO FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

STATE OF OHIO	:
Plaintiff-Appellee	· : :
-VS-	: : JUDGMENT ENTRY
MICHAEL STEWART	· :
Defendant-Appellant	: Case No. 10-CA-166
	•
For the reasons stated in our accord	npanying Memorandum-Opinion on file, the
judgment of the Stark County Court of Co	mmon Pleas is affirmed. Costs assessed to
Appellant.	

HON. PATRICIA A. DELANEY

HON. WILLIAM B. HOFFMAN

HON. W. SCOTT GWIN