COURT OF APPEALS ASHLAND COUNTY, OHIO FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

STATE OF OHIO JUDGES:

Hon. W. Scott Gwin, P.J.
Plaintiff-Appellee Hon. William B. Hoffman, J.
Hop. Patricia A. Delaney, J.

Hon. Patricia A. Delaney, J.

Case No. 10-COA-013
JOSHUA R. BELL

Defendant-Appellant <u>OPINION</u>

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDING: Appeal from the Ashland Municipal Court,

Case No. 09-CRB-1312

JUDGMENT: Affirmed

DATE OF JUDGMENT ENTRY: May 20, 2011

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff-Appellee For Defendant-Appellant

W. DAVID MONTAGUE

Assistant Director of Law
Mason, Mason & Kearns
Post Office Box 345
Ashland, Ohio 44805

THOMAS L. MASON
Mason, Mason & Kearns
Post Office Box 345
153 West Main Street
Ashland, Ohio 44805-0345

Hoffman, J.

{¶1} Defendant-appellant Joshua R. Bell appeals his conviction entered by the Ashland Municipal Court for underage consumption of alcohol in violation of R.C. 4301.69(E)(1). Plaintiff-appellee is the State of Ohio.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

- {¶2} Appellant was charged with underage consumption of alcohol in violation of R.C. 4301.69(E)(1). Following the presentation of the evidence, counsel for Appellant requested the trial court instruct the jury Appellant was permitted to consume alcohol if they found the consumption occurred under the supervision of a parent. The trial court denied the request. The jury found Appellant guilty of the charge.
- **{¶3}** A transcript of the trial was unavailable due to a malfunction of the recording equipment, resulting in the trial court issuing a Statement of Evidence pursuant to Ohio Rule of Appellate Procedure 9(C).
- The trial court's 9(C) statement states Appellant, who was nineteen yearsold, admitted to consuming beer. He testified he had his father's permission to drink
 beer at home while his father was there in the residence they shared. Appellant
 consumed beer in the downstairs of the residence, while his father, Michael Bell, was
 asleep in the bedroom upstairs. Michael Bell had given his son permission to drink
 while he was in the residence, but was not aware his son was drinking at the time of the
 alleged offense.
 - **{¶5}** On appeal, Appellant assigns as error:

{¶6} "I. THE TRIAL COMMITTED PREJUDICIAL ERROR BY NOT INSTRUCTING THE JURY THAT THE APPELLANT WAS PERMITTED TO CONSUME ALCOHOL UNDER PARENTAL SUPERVISION."

ı

- {¶7} Where a defense has been raised and is supported by sufficient evidence, a trial court errs in refusing to instruct the jury on the defense. *State v. Atterberry* (1997), 119 Ohio App.3d 443; *State v. Brady* (1988), 48 Ohio App.3d 41. The decision of the trial court whether to give jury instructions requested by the parties is reviewable only for abuse of discretion. *State v. Sims* (1984), 13 Ohio App.3d 287.
 - **{¶8}** Ohio Revised Code Section 4301.69(E)(1) states:
- (¶9) "(E)(1) No underage person shall knowingly order, pay for, share the cost of, attempt to purchase, possess, or consume any beer or intoxicating liquor in any public or private place. No underage person shall knowingly be under the influence of any beer or intoxicating liquor in any public place. The prohibitions set forth in division (E)(1) of this section against an underage person knowingly possessing, consuming, or being under the influence of any beer or intoxicating liquor shall not apply if the underage person is supervised by a parent, spouse who is not an underage person, or legal guardian, or the beer or intoxicating liquor is given by a physician in the regular line of the physician's practice or given for established religious purposes."
- {¶10} The 9(C) statement of the trial court provides Appellant's father was asleep at the time of the offense in an upstairs bedroom, and not directly supervising Appellant's consumption of alcohol at the time. Michael Bell did not know his son was drinking alcohol at the time. The trial court concluded, and we agree, the fact

4

Appellant's father was asleep and unaware of Appellant's drinking does not amount to supervision.

{¶11} Accordingly, we find the trial court did not abuse its discretion in not instructing the jury as requested by Appellant.

{¶12} The sole assignment of error is overruled.

By: Hoffman, J.

Gwin, P.J. and

Delaney, J. concur

s/ William B. Hoffman _____ HON. WILLIAM B. HOFFMAN

s/ W. Scott Gwin ____ HON. W. SCOTT GWIN

s/ Patricia A. Delaney HON. PATRICIA A. DELANEY

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR ASHLAND COUNTY, OHIO FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

STATE OF OHIO :

Plaintiff-Appellee

:

-vs- : JUDGMENT ENTRY

JOSHUA R. BELL

:

Defendant-Appellant : Case No. 10-COA-013

For the reason stated in our accompanying Opinion, the judgment of the Ashland Municipal Court is affirmed. Costs to Appellant.

s/ William B. Hoffman

HON. WILLIAM B. HOFFMAN

s/W. Scott Gwin

HON. W. SCOTT GWIN

s/ Patricia A. Delaney

HON. PATRICIA A. DELANEY