

[Cite as *State v. Gilliam*, 2002-Ohio-4676.]

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO	:	
	:	
Plaintiff-Appellee	:	C.A. CASE NO. 18854
v.	:	T.C. CASE NO. 00 CR 3689
	:	
BOBBY JOE GILLIAM	:	(Criminal Appeal from Common Pleas Court)
	:	Defendant-Appellant

**OPINION**

Rendered on the 6<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2002.

CARLEY J. INGRAM, Atty. Reg. No. 0020084, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, 301 W. Third Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Dayton, Ohio 45422  
Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee

JOYCE M. DEITERING, Atty. Reg. No. 0005776, 8801 N. Main Street, Suite 200, Dayton, Ohio 45415  
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant

BOBBY JOE GILLIAM, #411-224, Correctional Reception Center, P. O. Box 300, Orient, Ohio 43146  
Defendant-Appellant

FREDERICK N. YOUNG, J.

{¶1} After a trial, a jury found Bobby Joe Gilliam guilty of assault on a police

officer, a fourth degree felony. He was ultimately sentenced to seventeen months imprisonment. Gilliam filed a notice of appeal, and in due course, his appointed appellate counsel filed an *Anders* brief, alleging that after thoroughly examining the record and the law, she concluded that there were no meritorious issues for appeal.

{¶2} On June 7, 2002, we informed Gilliam of the fact that his counsel had filed an *Anders* brief and granted him sixty days from that date to file his pro se brief, if any.

{¶3} No such pro se brief has been filed.

{¶4} We have thoroughly examined the record of the proceedings in this case, and we agree with the assessment of appellate counsel that there are no meritorious issues for appellate review. Parenthetically, we note that Gilliam will have completed his sentence before this decision is filed.

{¶5} The judgment appealed from is affirmed.

.....

BROGAN, J. and FAIN, J., concur.

Copies mailed to:

- Carley J. Ingram
- Joyce M. Deitering
- Bobby Joe Gilliam
- Hon. A. J. Wagner