[Cite as State v. Key, 2002-Ohio-5532.]

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO	:	
Plaintiff-Appellee	:	C.A. CASE NO. 19164
v.	:	T.C. CASE NO. 01 CR 2310
JESSIE L. KEY	: Defendar	(Criminal Appeal from Common Pleas Court) nt-Appellant :

:

<u>O P I N I O N</u>

.

Rendered on the <u>11th</u> day of <u>October</u>, 2002.

.

CARLEY J. INGRAM, Atty. Reg. No. 0020084, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, 301 W. Third Street, 5th Floor, Dayton, Ohio 45422 Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee

MICHAEL H. HOLZ, Atty. Reg. No. 0031902, 507 Wilmington Avenue, Suite 1, Dayton, Ohio 45420

Attorney for Defendant-Appellant

JESSIE L. KEY, #417-899, London Correctional Institute, P. O. Box 69, London, Ohio 43140

.

FREDERICK N. YOUNG, J.

{¶1} After a two day trial, a jury found Jessie L. Key guilty of Aggravated

Assault, a lesser included offense of the charged offense, Felonious Assault. He was ultimately sentenced to fifteen months imprisonment. Key filed a notice of appeal, and in due course, his appointed appellate counsel filed an *Anders* brief, alleging that after thoroughly examining the record and the law, he concluded that there were no meritorious issues for appeal.

{**Q**} On July 12, 2002, we informed Key of the fact that his counsel had filed an *Anders* brief and granted him sixty days from that date to file his pro se brief, if any.

 $\{\P3\}$ No such pro se brief has been filed.

{**[4]** We have thoroughly examined the record of the proceedings in this case, and we agree with the assessment of appellate counsel that there are no meritorious issues for appellate review. In his brief, appellate counsel even stated:

{¶5} "In fact, this was the closest thing to a technically perfect trial this attorney has ever seen, and if anything the court's few evidentiary rulings favored the defendant."

{¶**6}** The judgment appealed from is affirmed.

.

FAIN, J. and GRADY, J., concur.

Copies mailed to:

Carley J. Ingram Michael H. Holz Jessie L. Key Hon. Mary E. Donovan