[Cite as State v. Hayes, 2002-Ohio-7095.]

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO

:	
:	C.A. CASE NO. 19361
:	T.C. CASE NO. 01 CR 4174
: Defendan	(Criminal Appeal from Common Pleas Court) it-Appellant :
	: :

:

Rendered on the <u>20<sup>th</sup></u> day of <u>December</u>, 2002.

. . . . . . . . . .

CARLEY J. INGRAM, Atty. Reg. No. 0020084, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, 301 W. Third Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Dayton, Ohio 45422 Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee

ARVIN S. MILLER, Atty. Reg. No. 0016355, 117 South Main Street, Suite 400, Dayton, Ohio 45422

Attorney for Defendant-Appellant

GARY M. HAYES, 615 Rockford Drive, Apt. #3, Dayton, Ohio 45405 Defendant-Appellant

. . . . . . . . . .

FREDERICK N. YOUNG, J.

{**¶1**} After a trial, a jury found Gary M. Hayes guilty of possession of cocaine.

He was sentenced to six months in prison concurrent with a six month sentence in an unrelated case. Hayes filed a notice of appeal, and in due course, his appointed appellate counsel filed an *Anders* brief, alleging that after thoroughly examining the record and the law, he concluded that there were no meritorious issues for appeal.

{**Q**} On October 1, 2002, we informed Hayes of the fact that his counsel had filed an *Anders* brief and granted him sixty days from that date to file his *pro* se brief, if any.

**{**¶**3}** No such *pro se* brief has been filed.

{**¶4**} We have thoroughly examined the record of the proceedings in this case,

and we agree with the assessment of appellate counsel that there are no meritorious issues for appellate review.

**{**¶**5}** The judgment appealed from is affirmed.

. . . . . . . . . .

BROGAN, J. and FAIN, J., concur.

Copies mailed to:

Carley J. Ingram Arvin S. Miller Gary M. Hayes Hon. Dennis J. Langer