IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR MIAMI COUNTY, OHIO

IN THE COURT OF A	ILALSIO	CIVILATIVII COOTTI I, OI	110
STATE OF OHIO	:		
Plaintiff-Appellee	:	C.A. CASE NO.	2006 CA 24
V.	:	T.C. NO. 2006 (CR 142
RICHARD L. QUINN, JR.,	:	(Criminal Appeal from Common Pleas Court)	
Defendant-Appellant	:		
	:		
	<u>O PINIO</u>	<u>N</u>	
Rendered on the <u>27th</u> day of <u>October</u> , 2006.			
JAMES D. BENNETT, Atty. Reg. No. Main Street, Troy, Ohio 45373 Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee	0022729, As	sistant Prosecuting Att	torney, 201 West
NIKA R. KATCHMAN, Atty. Reg. No. Attorney for Defendant-Appell		14 West Main Street, 1	roy, Ohio 45373
RICHARD L. QUINN, JR., #516-902 Chillicothe, Ohio 45601	2, Chillicothe	Correctional Institute	P. O. Box 500,
WOLFF, J.			

{¶ 1} Richard Quinn entered a plea of guilty to an information charging him with attempted aggravated robbery, a second degree felony. Quinn had originally been

2

charged with aggravated robbery, a first degree felony. The trial court, after receiving a

presentence investigation report, imposed a sentence of seven years and ordered Quinn to

pay court costs and restitution in the amount of \$136.76.

{¶ 2} Quinn appealed, and counsel was appointed to prosecute Quinn's appeal.

On July 11, 2006, appointed appellate counsel filed an Anders brief pursuant to Anders v.

California (1967), 386 U.S. 738, wherein counsel represented that after examination of the

record, she was unable to discover any potentially meritorious issues for appellate review.

By order of July 25, 2006, we advised Quinn that his appointed counsel had filed an

Anders brief and of the significance of an Anders brief. We invited Quinn to file a pro se

brief assigning any errors for review within sixty days of July 25, 2006. Quinn has not filed

a pro se brief in this court.

{¶ 3} Pursuant to our responsibilities under *Anders*, we have ourselves conducted

an independent review of the record in this case, and we have concluded, as did appointed

appellate counsel, that there are no potentially meritorious issues for appellate review and

that this appeal is frivolous.

{¶ 4} Accordingly, the judgment appealed from will be affirmed.

.

FAIN, J. and DONOVAN, J., concur.

Copies mailed to:

James D. Bennett Nika R. Katchman Richard L. Quinn, Jr.

Hon. Robert J. Lindeman