

[Cite as *State v. Dawson*, 2006-Ohio-6819.]

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO	:	
	:	
Plaintiff-Appellee	:	C.A. CASE NO. 21401
v.	:	T.C. NO. 04 CR 702
	:	
TABITHA DAWSON	:	(Criminal Appeal from Common Pleas Court)
	:	
Defendant-Appellant	:	

.....

**OPINION**

Rendered on the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of December, 2006.

.....

JON C. MARSHALL, Atty. Reg. No. 0079409, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, 301 W. Third Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Dayton, Ohio 45422  
Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee

PAMELA PINCHOT, Atty. Reg. No. 0071648, 7501 Paragon Road, Dayton, Ohio 45459  
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant

TABITHA DAWSON, #W062811, Northeast Pre-Release Center, 2675 E. 30<sup>th</sup> Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44115  
Defendant-Appellant

.....

DONOVAN, J.

Defendant-appellant Tabitha Dawson, appeals from her conviction of one count Aggravated Robbery and one count of Theft by Intimidation. Dawson was sentenced to five years on count one and three years on count two, to be served concurrently. Dawson’s appellate counsel has filed a brief

pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967), 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 19 L.Ed.2d. 493, indicating that there are no meritorious issues to be presented on appeal. By entry filed June 26, 2006, we advised Dawson that her appellate counsel had filed an *Anders* brief and allowed her sixty days within which to file her own pro se brief. Dawson has not filed her own pro se brief.

Pursuant to *Anders*, supra, we have independently reviewed the record. We agree with Dawson’s appellate counsel that there are no meritorious issues presented on appeal. We reviewed the entire record and found that the trial court complied with the requirements of Crim. R. 11(C) in accepting Dawson’s guilty pleas. Furthermore, it is evident from the record that the trial court properly determined that Dawson’s pleas were made voluntarily and with an understanding of the nature of the charges. She was well informed of the maximum penalty which could be invoked and all the rights which were waived as a result of her pleas.

We agree with Dawson’s appellate counsel that no meritorious issues are present in this appeal.

Judgment affirmed.

.....

GRADY, P.J. and FAIN, J., concur.

Copies mailed to:

- Jon C. Marshall
- Pamela Pinchot
- Tabitha Dawson
- Hon. Barbara P. Gorman