

[Cite as *Briseno v. Cook*, 121 Ohio St.3d 38, 2009-Ohio-308.]

BRISENO, APPELLANT, v. COOK, WARDEN, APPELLEE.

[Cite as *Briseno v. Cook*, 121 Ohio St.3d 38, 2009-Ohio-308.]

Habeas corpus — *Writ not available when petitioner had adequate remedy by way of direct appeal* — *Writ denied.*

(No. 2008-1733 — Submitted December 17, 2008 — Decided February 3, 2009.)

APPEAL from the Court of Appeals for Madison County, No. CA2008-05-012.

Per Curiam.

{¶ 1} We affirm the judgment of the court of appeals dismissing the habeas corpus petition of appellant, Antonio Briseno. Appellant had an adequate remedy by way of direct appeal from his sentence to raise his claim that he did not receive proper notification about postrelease control at his sentencing hearing. *Patterson v. Ohio Adult Parole Auth.*, 120 Ohio St.3d 311, 2008-Ohio-6147, 898 N.E.2d 950, ¶ 8; *Watkins v. Collins*, 111 Ohio St.3d 425, 2006-Ohio-5082, 857 N.E.2d 78, ¶ 45 and 53.

Judgment affirmed.

MOYER, C.J., and PFEIFER, LUNDBERG STRATTON, O’CONNOR, O’DONNELL, LANZINGER, and CUPP, JJ., concur.

Kenneth J. Rexford & Co., L.L.C., and Kenneth J. Rexford, for appellant.

Richard Cordray, Attorney General, and M. Scott Criss, Assistant Attorney General, for appellee.
