## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

## TWELFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO

# **CLINTON COUNTY**

STATE OF OHIO,	:	
Plaintiff-Appellee,	:	CASE NO. CA2016-11-020
- VS -	:	<u>DECISION</u> 9/25/2017
CHARLES E. FLINT,	:	
Defendant-Appellant.	:	

## CRIMINAL APPEAL FROM CLINTON COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS Case No. CRI16-500-158

Richard W. Moyer, Clinton County Prosecuting Attorney, 103 East Main Street, Wilmington, Ohio 45177, for plaintiff-appellee

Richard L. Federle, Jr., 820 Willow Bend Drive, Wilmington, Ohio 45177, for defendant-appellant

#### Per Curiam.

**{¶1}** This cause came on to be considered upon a notice of appeal, the transcript of

the docket and journal entries, the transcript of proceedings and original papers from the

Clinton County Court of Common Pleas, and upon the briefs of counsel.

**{¶2}** Counsel for defendant-appellant, Charles Flint, has filed a brief with this court

pursuant to Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), which (1) indicates that

#### Clinton CA2016-11-020

a careful review of the record from the proceedings below fails to disclose any errors by the trial court prejudicial to the rights of appellant upon which an assignment of error may be predicated; (2) lists one potential error "that might arguably support the appeal," *Anders*, at 744, 87 S.Ct. at 1400; (3) requests that this court review the record independently to determine whether the proceedings are free from prejudicial error and without infringement of appellant's constitutional rights; (4) requests permission to withdraw as counsel for appellant on the basis that the appeal is wholly frivolous; and (5) certifies that a copy of both the brief and motion to withdraw have been served upon appellant.

**{¶3}** Having allowed appellant sufficient time to respond, and no response having been received, we have accordingly examined the record and find no error prejudicial to appellant's rights in the proceedings in the trial court. The motion of counsel for appellant requesting to withdraw as counsel is granted, and this appeal is dismissed for the reason that it is wholly frivolous.

HENDRICKSON, P.J., S. POWELL and RINGLAND, JJ., concur.