

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL, : No. 1863 Disciplinary Docket No. 3
Petitioner :
v. : No. 122 DB 2012
: :
JASON ANTHONY JENKINS, : Attorney Registration No. 79647
Respondent : (Lehigh County)

ORDER

PER CURIAM:

AND NOW, this 21st day of February, 2013, there having been filed with this Court by Jason Anthony Jenkins his verified Statement of Resignation dated December 28, 2012, stating that he desires to resign from the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in accordance with the provisions of Rule 215, Pa.R.D.E., it is

ORDERED that the resignation of Jason Anthony Jenkins is accepted; he is disbarred on consent from the Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania retroactive to September 28, 2012; and he shall comply with the provisions of Rule 217, Pa.R.D.E. Respondent shall pay costs, if any, to the Disciplinary Board pursuant to Rule 208(g), Pa.R.D.E.

A True Copy Patricia Nicola
As Of 2/21/2013

Attest: 
Chief Clerk
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

**BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE
SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL	:	No. 1863 Disciplinary Docket No. 3
Petitioner	:	
	:	No. 122 DB 2012
v.	:	
	:	Attorney Registration No. 79647
JASON ANTHONY JENKINS	:	
Respondent	:	(Lehigh County)

RESIGNATION BY RESPONDENT

Pursuant to Rule 215
of the Pennsylvania Rules of Disciplinary Enforcement

4. His resignation is freely and voluntarily rendered; he is not being subjected to coercion or duress and he is fully aware of the implications of submitting this resignation.

5. He is aware that there is presently pending an investigation into allegations that he has been guilty of misconduct, the nature of which allegations are set forth in a Stipulation of Facts attached hereto, made a part hereof, and marked Exhibit "A."

6. He acknowledges that the material facts upon which the allegations of misconduct set forth in the attached Exhibit "A" are based are true.

7. He submits the within resignation because he knows that he could not successfully defend himself against the charges of professional misconduct set forth in the attached Exhibit "A" or other charges which might be brought based upon allegations of misconduct.

8. He acknowledges that by submitting the within resignation he is knowingly, voluntarily and intelligently waiving the right to have a disciplinary hearing pursuant to Rule 214(f)(1), Pa.R.D.E.

9. He is fully aware that the within resignation statement is irrevocable and that he can only apply for reinstatement to the practice of law pursuant to the provisions of Rule 218, Pa.R.D.E.

10. He acknowledges that he is fully aware of his right to

consult and employ counsel to represent him in the instant proceeding. He [REDACTED] has not retained, consulted with and acted upon the advice of counsel in connection with his decision to execute the within resignation.


11. He requests that the effective date of his disbarment on consent be September 28, 2012, the date he was placed on temporary suspension. He has been informed that the Office of Disciplinary Counsel does not object to Respondent's request. He understands that the Supreme Court has the discretion to grant or deny his request regarding retroactivity.

It is understood that the statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S.A. §4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities).

Signed this 28th day of December, 2012.



JASON ANTHONY JENKINS
Respondent



Witness

BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE
SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

In the matter of : No. 1863 Disciplinary Docket
: No. 3
:
JASON ANTHONY JENKINS : No. 122 DB 2012
:
: Attorney Reg. No. 79647
: (Lehigh County)

STIPULATION OF FACTS

1. ODC alleged by DB-7-Request for Respondent's Statement of Position, dated May 10, 2012, that Respondent had converted, misapplied or misappropriated a \$40,000 check that he had received in June 2011 on behalf of his clients, Charles and Gladys Kriebles.

2. Respondent submitted a Letter of Reply in July 2012 in which Respondent admitted to converting, misapplying or misappropriating the Kriebles' funds.

3. Respondent also self-reported that he had converted, misapplied or misappropriated client funds on four other occasions and represented that he was in the process of making restitution to his clients.

4. Respondent admits that he converted, misapplied or misappropriated \$56,250.00 belonging to his client, Cameron Pakrough, that he had received on September 29, 2009, September 14, 2010, and December 22, 2010 and that he made full restitution of those funds to Mr. Pakrough on July 19, 2012.

5. Respondent admits that he converted, misapplied or misappropriated \$8,295.02 belonging to his client, Chris Strobaugh, that he had received in June 2010 and that he made full restitution of those funds to Mr. Strobaugh on July 13, 2012.

6. Respondent admits that he converted, misapplied or misappropriated \$6,375.50 belonging to his client, Michael Nice, that he had received in May 2010 and that he made full restitution of those funds to Mr. Nice on July 13, 2012.

7. Respondent admits that he converted, misapplied or misappropriated \$26,144.50 belonging to his clients, Charles and Gladys Kriebles that he had received in June 2011 and that he made full restitution of those funds to the Kriebles on July 17, 2012.

8. Respondent admits that he converted, misapplied or misappropriated \$14,636.89 belonging to his clients, Ron and Shirley Landis that he had received in June 2009 and that he made full restitution of those funds to the Landises on June 12, 2012.

Exhibit "A"