

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA  
MIDDLE DISTRICT**

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	:	Nos. 111 & 115 MAP 2006
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION	:	
	:	
	:	
v.	:	Appeal from the Orders of the
	:	Commonwealth Court dated October 16
CHESTER-UPLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT	:	and October 23, 2006 at No. 496 M.D.
SPECIAL BOARD OF CONTROL,	:	2005
MICHAEL F.X. GILLIN, B. GRANVILLE	:	
LASH, AND ADRIENNE M. IRVING	:	
	:	
	:	
APPEAL OF: CHESTER-UPLAND	:	
SCHOOL DISTRICT SPECIAL BOARD	:	
OF CONTROL, MICHAEL F. X. GILLIN	:	
AND ADRIENE M. IRVING	:	

**ORDER**

**PER CURIAM**

**AND NOW**, this 27<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2007, the appeals at 111 & 115 MAP 2006 are hereby QUASHED. This court's affirmance of the Commonwealth Court's order dated April 16, 2007 by opinion and Order at Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Dep't of Education v. Chester-Upland Special Board of Control et al., 42 MAP 2007, \_\_\_ A.2d \_\_\_ (Pa. 2007), granting the Department of Education's Application to Substitute Parties has the effect of substituting the Empowerment Board of Control of the Chester-Upland School District for the Special Board of Control of the Chester-Upland School District. Therefore, the Special Board of Control of the Chester-Upland School District is no longer a party to this appeal. See, e.g., Blackwell v. Commonwealth, States Ethics Comm'n, 567 A.2d 630 (Pa. 1989) (holding quashal is appropriate when entity was "out of existence").