## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE DISTRICT

DENNIS L. NESS AND JOHN E. BOWDERS,	: No. 1037 MAL 2013 :
Petitioners	<ul> <li>Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the</li> <li>Order of the Commonwealth Court</li> </ul>
ν.	· · ·
YORK TOWNSHIP BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS,	· · ·
Respondent	

## <u>ORDER</u>

## PER CURIAM

**AND NOW**, this 8th day of July, 2014, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issue, as stated by petitioner, is:

Did the Commonwealth Court commit an error of law when it determined that a Section 108 post-enactment notice, which was facially deficient, served as a shield against Petitioner[s'] claim that the underlying Zoning and SALDO Ordinances were defective and rendered moot all procedural challenges regarding the adoption of the ordinances?

The matter will be submitted on briefs.