

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA  
EASTERN DISTRICT**

DENTAL BENEFIT PROVIDERS, INC. : No. 132 EAL 2014  
AND UNITEDHEALTHCARE OF :  
PENNSYLVANIA, INC. D/B/A :  
UNITEDHEALTHCARE COMMUNITY : Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the  
PLAN AND HEALTHAMERICA : Order of the Commonwealth Court  
PENNSYLVANIA, INC., D/B/A :  
COVENTRYCARES, :  
Respondents :

v.

JAMES EISEMAN, JR. AND THE PUBLIC :  
INTEREST LAW CENTER OF :  
PHILADELPHIA, :  
Petitioners :

AETNA BETTER HEALTH INC., HEALTH : No. 133 EAL 2014  
PARTNERS OF PHILADELPHIA, INC., :  
KEYSTONE MERCY HEALTH PLAN, :  
AND DENTAQUEST, LLC, : Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the  
Respondents : Order of the Commonwealth Court

v.

JAMES EISEMAN, JR., AND THE :  
PUBLIC INTEREST LAW CENTER OF :  
PHILADELPHIA, :  
Petitioners :

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WELFARE,	:	No. 134 EAL 2014
	:	
Respondent	:	
	:	Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the
	:	Order of the Commonwealth Court
v.	:	
	:	
JAMES EISEMAN, JR. AND THE PUBLIC	:	
INTEREST LAW CENTER OF	:	
PHILADELPHIA,	:	
	:	
Petitioners	:	

**ORDER**

**PER CURIAM**

**AND NOW**, this 23rd day of October, 2014, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issue is:

Whether records showing the rates dental providers receive for treating Medicaid enrollees are “public records” subject to disclosure under the Right-to-Know Law when DPW’s contracts with [MCO]s mandate that it have possession of or “ready access” to such records, and when such records directly relate to the governmental function of providing dental care to Medicaid enrollees[.]

The Prothonotary shall establish parallel briefing tracks for this case and Department of Public Welfare v. Eiseman, No. 129-31 EAL 2014, and the two cases, though not consolidated, shall be listed for argument at the same Court session.