

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 24th day of June 2014, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issues, as stated by petitioners, are:

- (1) Whether the Superior Court's decision in Stewart v. GGNSC Monroeville, 9 A.3d 215 (Pa. Super. 2010), holding that the NAF Designation voided an identical Arbitration Agreement, was incorrectly decided and should be reversed, where there is no evidence indicating that the NAF designation was integral to the Agreement?

- (2) Whether the Court may ignore undisputed testimonial evidence that the party seeking to void the Agreement did not consider the NAF Designation to be an “integral part” of the Arbitration Agreement (because she did not read the agreement)¹?

¹ This issue has been abbreviated because, as stated verbatim, it was unnecessarily argumentative.