## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE DISTRICT

M.D. Appeal Dkt. 142 MAP 2014

DAVID M. SOCKO, : No. 544 MAL 2014

Respondent

: Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the

: Order of the Superior Court

:

MID-ATLANTIC SYSTEMS OF CPA,

٧.

INC.,

:

Petitioner

## **ORDER**

PER CURIAM DECIDED: December 11, 2014

**AND NOW**, this 11th day of December, 2014, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issues are:

- (1) Did the Superior Court misconstrue the Uniform Written Obligations Act, 33 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. § 6 (West 2014) ("UWOA") considering the UWOA is not a "substitute for consideration," nor is it intended to "rectify a lack of consideration" as the Superior Court asserts, but instead, is a statute that merely prevents a party to a written agreement in which the party expresses an intention to be legally bound from later challenging that validity of the contract based upon lack of consideration?
- (2) Did the Superior Court erroneously rely upon allegedly analogous "seal" cases to support its determination that the UWOA does not provide consideration for a non-competition restrictive covenant entered into subsequent to the commencement of the employment relation, considering a seal on a document has long been held to input consideration, whereas, to the contrary, the UWOA does <u>not</u> input consideration, and the authority relied upon by the Superior Court did not support this conclusion[?]
- (3) Did the Superior Court impermissibly amend the UWOA under the guise of interpreting it?