[J-52A-2014] IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE DISTRICT

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA: No. 84 MAP 2011

٧.

: Appeal from the decision of the: Commonwealth Court (Opinion re Post-

: Trial Motions of the Commonwealth and : Johnson & Johnson) dated 08-31-2011 at

: No. 212 MD 2004.

: ARGUED: May 7, 2013 : SUBMITTED: April 25, 2014

TAP PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS, INC.; ABBOTT LABORATORIES; ASTRAZENECA PLC; ASTRAZENECA, HOLDINGS, INC.; ASTRAZENECA

PHARMACEUTICALS LP;

ASTRAZENECA LP; BAYER AG; BAYER

CORPORATION; SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION D/B/A GLAXOSMITHKLINE; PFIZER, INC.;

PHARMACIA CORPORATION; JOHNSON & JOHNSON; ALZA

CORPORATION; CENTROCOR, INC.;

ETHICON, INC.; JANSSEN

PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS, L.P.;

MCNEIL-PPC, INC.; ORTHO BIOTECH,

INC.; ORTHO BIOTECH PRODUCTS;

L.P.; ORTHO-MCNEIL

PHARMACEUTICAL, INC; AMGEN, INC.;

IMMUNEX CORPORATION; BRISTOL-

MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY; BAXTER

INTERNATIONAL INC.; BAXTER

HEALTHCARE CORPORATION;

IMMUNO-U.S., INC.; AVENTIS

PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; AVENTIS

BEHRING, L.L.C.; HOECHST MARION

ROUSSEL, INC., BOEHRINGER

INGELHEIM CORPORATION;

BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM

PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; BEN VENUE:

LABORATORIES; BEDFORD

LABORATORIES; ROXANE

LABORATORIES; SCHERING-PLOUGH

CORPORATION, WARRICK

PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION; SCHERING SALES CORPORATION;

DEY, INC.

DONNA A. BOSWELL, ESQ., ANN M. VICKERY, ESQ., AND JOSEPH A. YOUNG, ESQ.,

Intervenors

APPEAL OF: JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ALZA CORPORATION, CENTOCOR, INC., ETHICON, INC., JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS, L.P., MCNEIL-PPC, INC., ORTHO BIOTECH, INC., ORTHO BIOTECH PRODUCTS, L.P., AND ORTHO-MCNEIL PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. (COLLECTIVELY, THE "JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS)

CONCURRING STATEMENT

FILED: June 16, 2014

MR. JUSTICE BAER

I concur in the Court's order vacating the Commonwealth Court's order and remanding for the reasons set forth in my concurring opinion in <u>Commonwealth v. TAP</u>

<u>Pharm. Prods. Inc., __A.3d. __(Pa._____, 2014)(Baer, J. concurring)(indicating my view that a remand is warranted for further consideration of the case in light of the OAJC's analysis of the rebate issue).</u>

Madame Justice Todd and Mr. Justice McCaffery join this concurring statement.