

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
MIDDLE DISTRICT

M.D. Appeal Dkt.
60 MAP 2014

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| MUTUAL BENEFIT INSURANCE COMPANY, | : | No. 943 MAL 2013 |
| | : | |
| | : | |
| Petitioner | : | Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the |
| | : | Order of the Superior Court |
| | : | |
| v. | : | |
| | : | |
| | : | |
| CHRISTOS POLITOPOULOS, DIONYSIOS MIHALOPOULOS AND MARINA DENOVTZ, | : | |
| | : | |
| | : | |
| Respondents | : | |

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 17th day of April, 2014, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED, LIMITED TO** the following issue set forth below: Allocatur is **DENIED** as to all remaining issues. The issue, as stated by Petitioner, is:

Whether the Superior Court properly ruled that Pennsylvania Manufacturers' Association Insurance Co. v. Aetna Casualty & Surety Insurance Co., 426 Pa. 453, 233 A.2d 548 (1967) ("PMA") did not control in the instant case because of the divergence in wording between the "severability clause" in PMA and the language in the Umbrella Policy here, finding that the plain unambiguous language in the case at hand provides coverage for the liability in question.