

**[J-100-2007]**  
**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA**  
**EASTERN DISTRICT**

PHILADELPHIA ENTERTAINMENT AND	:	No. 88 EM 2007
DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS, L.P., d/b/a	:	
FOXWOODS CASINO PHILADELPHIA,	:	Application for Reargument of Petitioner
	:	Philadelphia Entertainment and
Petitioner	:	Development Partners L.P. d/b/a
	:	Foxwoods Casino Philadelphia and
	:	Request for Expedited Treatment
v.	:	
	:	
	:	
CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, CITY	:	
COUNCIL FOR THE CITY OF	:	
PHILADELPHIA, AND THE	:	
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSES AND	:	
INSPECTIONS OF CITY OF	:	
PHILADELPHIA,	:	
	:	
Respondents	:	

**CONCURRING STATEMENT**

**MR. JUSTICE CASTILLE**

**FILED: December 21, 2007**

On the current pleadings, I agree with the denial of the Application for Reargument. However, I would allow Petitioner Philadelphia Entertainment and Development Partners (“PEDP”) the opportunity to amend the original filing in this matter to augment the record with additional and/or disputed facts that demonstrate that PEDP is in fact situated identically to the petitioners in HSP Gaming L.P. v. Council for the City of Philadelphia, \_\_\_ A.2d \_\_\_ (Pa. 2007), 2007 WL 4226871. I would also retain jurisdiction.