[J-22-2007] IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN DISTRICT

LOIS EISER, ADMINISTRATRIX OF THE: No. 39 EAP 2006

ESTATE OF WILLIAM M. EISER AND :

LOIS EISER, INDIVIDUALLY, : Appeal from the Memorandum and Order

: of the Superior Court at No. 191 EDA

Appellant : 2004 dated January 19, 2006 (reargument

denied March 29, 2006) which affirmed
 the Judgment of the Court of Common
 Pleas of Philadelphia County, Civil

DECIDED: December 28, 2007

: Pleas of Philadelphia County, Civil

Division entered January 8, 2004 at No.

4367 March Term, 1999.

BROWN & WILLIAMSON TOBACCO

٧.

CORPORATION AND THE TOBACCO

INSTITUTE,

: ARGUED: May 16, 2007

:

Appellees

CONCURRING OPINION

MR. JUSTICE SAYLOR

Other than by application of the doctrine of <u>stare decisis</u>, I have not supported the strict waiver approach deriving from <u>Lord</u>, and I do not support an expansion of that doctrine. In this case, I believe that the trial and intermediate appellate court had reasonable alternatives short of the drastic sanction of outright dismissal to address the apparent lack of conciseness in the statement of matters complained of on appeal. Accordingly, I support the plurality's ultimate disposition.