

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Supreme Court

In the Matter of Harold Ray Crews, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2020-000516

Opinion No. 27987

Submitted June 10, 2020 – Filed July 15, 2020

DEFINITE SUSPENSION

John S. Nichols, Disciplinary Counsel, and William C.
Campbell, Senior Assistant Disciplinary Counsel, both of
Columbia, for the Office of Disciplinary Counsel.

Harold Ray Crews, of Walkertown, North Carolina, *pro*
se.

PER CURIAM: By order of the Disciplinary Hearing Commission of the North Carolina State Bar dated February 28, 2020, Respondent—an inactive member of the South Carolina Bar—was suspended from the practice of law in North Carolina for thirty days for failing to comply with a North Carolina Bar grievance investigation. *N.C. State Bar v. Crews*, Disciplinary Hearing Comm'n of the N.C. State Bar Order dated Feb. 28, 2020.

The Clerk of Court sent a letter to Respondent informing him he had thirty days to inform the Court of any claim that imposition of identical discipline in South Carolina was not warranted and the reasons for any such claim. *See* Rule 29(b), RLDE, Rule 413, SCACR. Respondent did not respond to the Clerk's Rule 29(b) notice letter, which was sent to the address provided by Respondent in the Attorney Information System.

Because we find a sufficient attempt was made to serve Respondent with the Rule 29(b) notice, and none of the factors in Rule 29(d) preventing the imposition of identical discipline are present in this matter, we hereby reciprocally suspend Respondent from the practice of law in South Carolina for thirty (30) days from the date of this opinion. Within fifteen (15) days of the date of this opinion, Respondent shall file an affidavit with the Clerk of Court showing that he has complied with Rule 30, RLDE, Rule 413, SCACR.

DEFINITE SUSPENSION.

BEATTY, C.J., KITTREDGE, HEARN, FEW and JAMES, JJ., concur.