## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE AT JACKSON

November 2, 2016 Session

## STATE OF TENNESSEE v. JAMES HAWKINS

Automatic Appeal from the Court of Criminal Appeals
Criminal Court for Shelby County
No. 0806057 Chris Craft, Judge

No. W2012-00412-SC-DDT-DD - Filed May 1, 2017

\_\_\_\_\_

SHARON G. LEE, J., concurring.

I concur fully with the Court's opinion except for the analysis regarding the proportionality review. In 1997, this Court narrowed the scope of the proportionality review required by Tennessee Code Annotated section 39-13-206(c)(1)(D) by limiting its consideration to only those cases in which the death penalty had been sought. *State v. Bland*, 958 S.W.2d 651, 666 (Tenn. 1997). A majority of this Court reaffirmed this truncated approach in *State v. Pruitt*, 415 S.W.3d 180, 217 (Tenn. 2013). In *Pruitt*, I joined Justice William C. Koch, Jr. in dissenting from the Court's decision to continue following the *Bland* approach, as it improperly narrows the proportionality review required by Tennessee Code Annotated section 39-13-206(c)(1)(D). *Pruitt*, 415 S.W.3d at 230 (Koch and Lee, JJ., concurring and dissenting). We determined that the Court should return to its pre-*Bland* proportionality analysis by considering "all first degree murder cases in which life imprisonment or a sentence of death has been imposed" and focusing on whether the case under review more closely resembles cases that have resulted in the imposition of the death penalty than those that have not. *Id.* at 230-31 (Koch and Lee, JJ., concurring and dissenting).

I have performed the broader, pre-Bland review in this case, as I find it more consistent with the requirement of Tennessee Code Annotated section 39-13-206(c)(1)(D). Based on a review of all similar first degree murder cases, including those in which the death penalty was not sought, I have concluded that Mr. Hawkins' personal background and the nature of the capital crime he committed closely resemble the personal backgrounds and the crimes committed by other persons who have received a death sentence than those that have not. Accordingly, as required by Tennessee Code Annotated section 39-13-206(c)(1)(D) and based on the facts in this record, I find that

nposed in similar cases, considering both the nature of the crime and the defendant."	
SHARON G. LEE, JUSTICE	-

Mr. Hawkins' death sentence is "[neither] excessive [n]or disproportionate to the penalty