

IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TEXAS

NO. AP-76,912

EX PARTE MARTINIANO R. FLORES, Applicant

ON APPLICATION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS CAUSE NO. W219-80171-06-HC IN THE 416TH DISTRICT COURT FROM COLLIN COUNTY

Per curiam.

OPINION

Pursuant to the provisions of Article 11.07 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure, the clerk of the trial court transmitted to this Court this application for a writ of habeas corpus. *Ex parte Young*, 418 S.W.2d 824, 826 (Tex. Crim. App. 1967). Applicant was convicted of three counts of aggravated sexual assault of a child, three counts of indecency with a child by contact, and one count of indecency with a child by exposure. He was sentenced to three twenty-year sentences, two five-year sentences, and two ten-year sentences, with two of the twenty-year sentences to be served consecutively, and the other sentences to be served concurrently. The Fifth Court of Appeals affirmed his conviction. *Flores v. State*, No. 05-06-01297-CR (Tex. App. – Dallas, June 10, 2008).

2

Applicant contends, inter alia, that his appellate counsel rendered ineffective assistance

because counsel failed to timely notify Applicant that his conviction had been affirmed and failed

to advise him of his right to petition *pro se* for discretionary review. We remanded this application

to the trial court for findings of fact and conclusions of law.

Appellate counsel filed an affidavit with the trial court. In addition, the trial court obtained

an affidavit from the Program Supervisor of the Mail System Coordinators Panel, indicating that a

review of the incoming mail logs at the unit where Applicant was housed during the pendency of his

appeal showed that Applicant received no mail during the period for filing a PDR. Based on these

affidavits, the trial court has entered findings of fact and conclusions of law that appellate counsel

failed to timely notify Applicant that his conviction had been affirmed and failed to advise him of

his right to petition for discretionary review pro se. The trial court recommends that relief be

granted. Ex parte Wilson, 956 S.W.2d 25 (Tex. Crim. App. 1997).

We find, therefore, that Applicant is entitled to the opportunity to file an out-of-time petition

for discretionary review of the judgment of the Fifth Court of Appeals in Cause No. 05-06-01297-CR

that affirmed his conviction in Cause No. 219-80171-06 from the 416th District Court of Collin

County. Applicant shall file his petition for discretionary review with this Court within 30 days of

the date on which this Court's mandate issues.

Applicant's remaining claims are dismissed. See Ex parte Torres, 943 S.W.2d 469 (Tex.

Crim. App. 1997).

Delivered: November 7, 2012

Do not publish