



IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TEXAS

NO. WR-23,125-08

WILSON EMELSY BROWN, Relator

v.

KENT COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK, Respondent

**ON APPLICATION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS
CAUSE NO. 729 IN THE 39th DISTRICT COURT
FROM KENT COUNTY**

Per curiam.

ORDER

Relator has filed a motion for leave to file a writ of mandamus pursuant to the original jurisdiction of this Court. In it, he contends that he filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus in the 39th District Court of Kent County, that more than 35 days have elapsed, and that the application has not yet been forwarded to this Court.

In these circumstances, additional facts are needed. Respondent, the District Clerk of Kent County, is ordered to file a response, which may be made by submitting the record on such habeas

corpus application, submitting a copy of a timely filed order which designates issues to be investigated (*see McCree v. Hampton*, 824 S.W.2d 578, 579 (Tex. Crim. App. 1992)), or stating that Relator has not filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus in Kent County. Should the response include an order designating issues, proof of the date the district attorney's office was served with the habeas application shall also be submitted with the response. This application for leave to file a writ of mandamus shall be held in abeyance until Respondent has submitted the appropriate response. Such response shall be submitted within 30 days of the date of this order.

Filed: September 5, 2012
Do not publish