

IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TEXAS

NO. WR-74,685-04

EX PARTE PAMELA DIANN WILBURN, AKA SUSAN BROUSSARD, Applicant

ON APPLICATION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS CAUSE NO. 692000-A IN THE 177TH DISTRICT COURT FROM HARRIS COUNTY

Per curiam.

ORDER

Pursuant to the provisions of Article 11.07 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure, the clerk of the trial court transmitted to this Court this application for a writ of habeas corpus. *Ex parte Young*, 418 S.W.2d 824, 826 (Tex. Crim. App. 1967). Applicant was convicted of theft by check and sentenced to twenty years' imprisonment. The First Court of Appeals affirmed her conviction. Wilburn v. State, No. 01-07-0080-CR (Tex. App. – Houston [1st Dist.] July 7, 2008, pet. ref'd).

Applicant contends, *inter alia*, that both her trial and appellate counsel rendered ineffective assistance. Applicant alleges that her trial counsel was neither retained nor appointed, and that she had a conflict of interest with her trial attorney arising from his representation of Applicant in a civil matter. Applicant alleges that she tried to get trial counsel removed from her case, but that her

attempts were ignored by the trial court. Applicant alleges that trial counsel deceived her regarding the nature and consequences of the plea. Applicant alleges that she believed that these charges had been dropped in 1995, and alleges that despite the fact that she was on parole supervision for another conviction from before the indictment in this case was returned until 2006, she was not arrested for this charge until 2006.

Applicant alleges that appellate counsel was appointed without her consent, and that appellate counsel filed the appellate brief without consulting her. According to Applicant, she asked appellate counsel to withdraw the appellate brief and withdraw from the representation so that Applicant could file a *pro se* brief, but appellate counsel did not respond to her requests. Applicant alleges that appellate counsel subsequently filed a petition for discretionary review on her behalf without Applicant's consent or knowledge.

Applicant has alleged facts that, if true, might entitle to relief. *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668 (1984); *Ex parte Patterson*, 993 S.W.2d 114, 115 (Tex. Crim. App. 1999). In these circumstances, additional facts are needed. As we held in *Ex parte Rodriguez*, 334 S.W.2d 294, 294 (Tex. Crim. App. 1960), the trial court is the appropriate forum for findings of fact. The trial court shall order trial and appellate counsel to respond to Applicant's claims of ineffective assistance of counsel. The trial court may use any means set out in Tex. Code Crim. Proc. art. 11.07, § 3(d). In the appropriate case, the trial court may rely on its personal recollection. *Id*.

If the trial court elects to hold a hearing, it shall determine whether Applicant is indigent. If Applicant is indigent and wishes to be represented by counsel, the trial court shall appoint an attorney to represent Applicant at the hearing. Tex. Code Crim. Proc. art. 26.04.

The trial court shall make findings of fact and conclusions of law as to why there was such

a long delay between the return of the indictment in this case and Applicant's arrest on the charges. The trial court shall make findings as to whether trial counsel in this case was retained or appointed. The trial court shall make findings as to whether trial counsel filed any pre-trial motions in this case, and if he did, the trial court shall supplement the habeas record with copies of any such motions. The trial court shall make findings as to whether Applicant sought to replace her trial attorney prior to the entry of the plea, and if so, whether the trial court responded to her request. The trial court shall make findings as to whether the performance of Applicant's trial counsel was deficient and, if so, whether counsel's deficient performance prejudiced Applicant.

The trial court shall also make findings as to whether Applicant expressed her desire to pursue her direct appeal *pro se*, and if so, why appellate counsel was appointed. The trial court shall make findings as to whether appellate counsel was asked by Applicant to withdraw her appellate brief and to withdraw from the representation, and if so, whether appellate counsel responded to Applicant's requests. The trial court shall make findings as to whether appellate counsel advised Applicant of the court of appeals' opinion in this case, and of her right to pursue discretionary review *pro se*. The trial court shall make findings as to whether appellate counsel's performance was deficient, and if so, whether appellate counsel's deficient performance prejudiced Applicant. The trial court shall also make any other findings of fact and conclusions of law that it deems relevant and appropriate to the disposition of Applicant's claims for habeas corpus relief.

This application will be held in abeyance until the trial court has resolved the fact issues. The issues shall be resolved within 90 days of this order. A supplemental transcript containing all affidavits and interrogatories or the transcription of the court reporter's notes from any hearing or deposition, along with the trial court's supplemental findings of fact and conclusions of law, shall

4

be returned to this Court within 120 days of the date of this order. Any extensions of time shall be

obtained from this Court.

Filed: June 27, 2012

Do not publish