



COURT OF APPEALS
EIGHTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS
EL PASO, TEXAS

MICHAEL CODY TURNER,	§	
	§	No. 08-13-00112-CR
Appellant,	§	Appeal from
v.	§	355th District Court
THE STATE OF TEXAS,	§	of Hood County, Texas
Appellee.	§	(TC # CR11710)

OPINION

Michael Cody Turner appeals his conviction of indecency with a child by contact. Appellant waived his right to a jury trial and entered a negotiated plea of guilty. In accordance with the plea bargain, the court deferred making an adjudication of guilt and placed Appellant on community supervision for a term of ten years. The State later moved to adjudicate guilt alleging multiple violations of the terms and conditions of community supervision. Following a hearing, the court granted the State's motion, entered an adjudication of guilt, and assessed Appellant's punishment at imprisonment for a term of twenty years. We affirm.

Appellant's court-appointed counsel has filed a brief in which she has concluded that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493, *reh. denied*, 388 U.S. 924, 87 S.Ct. 2094, 18 L.Ed.2d 1377 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record

demonstrating why, in effect, there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d 403, 407 n.9 (Tex.Crim.App.2008)(“In Texas, an Anders brief need not specifically advance ‘arguable’ points of error if counsel finds none, but it must provide record references to the facts and procedural history and set out pertinent legal authorities.”); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex.Crim.App. 1978). A copy of counsel’s brief has been delivered to Appellant, and Appellant has been advised of his right to examine the appellate record and file a *pro se* brief. No *pro se* brief has been filed.

The court has carefully reviewed the record and counsel’s brief in its entirety, and agrees that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find nothing in the record that might arguably warrant an appeal. The judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

October 8, 2014

ANN CRAWFORD McCLURE, Chief Justice

Before McClure, C.J., Rivera, and Rodriguez, JJ.
(Rivera, J., not participating)

(Do Not Publish)