



COURT OF APPEALS
EIGHTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS
EL PASO, TEXAS

RONALD EVANS,	§	No. 08-13-00137-CR
Appellant,	§	Appeal from the
v.	§	432nd District Court
THE STATE OF TEXAS,	§	of Tarrant County, Texas
Appellee.	§	(TC# 1240235D)
	§	

OPINION

Before the trial court, Appellant waived trial by jury and entered an open plea of guilty to possession with intent to deliver a controlled substance of four grams or more but less than 200 grams of heroin. TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ANN. § 481.112(d)(West 2010). The trial court deferred adjudication of guilt, placed Appellant on community supervision for ten years, and ordered Appellant to pay court costs of \$344.

Subsequently, the State filed a petition to proceed to adjudication. After being admonished, Appellant pleaded true to the acts alleged in the State's petition. The trial court revoked Appellant's community supervision, found Appellant guilty of possession with intent to deliver a controlled substance, heroin, in an amount of four grams or more but less than 200 grams, and sentenced him to imprisonment for twenty-five years. With the trial court's permission,

Appellant then filed his notice of appeal.

Appellant's court-appointed counsel, however, has filed a brief in which he has concluded that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493, *reh. denied*, 388 U.S. 924, 87 S.Ct. 2094, 18 L.Ed.2d 1377 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why, in effect, there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. See *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex.Crim.App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex.Crim.App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553 (Tex.Crim.App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex.Crim.App. 1969). A copy of counsel's brief has been delivered to Appellant, and Appellant has been advised of his right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se brief. No pro se brief has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Appellant pleaded true and a plea of true is sufficient to revoke probation. See *Watts v. State*, 645 S.W.2d 461, 463 (Tex.Crim.App. 1983). Further, Appellant was sentenced within the range of punishment for his offense. TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. § 12.32 (West 2011); TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ANN. § 481.112(d)(West 2010). We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal and a discussion of the contentions advanced in counsel's brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the state.

The judgment is affirmed.

GUADALUPE RIVERA, Justice

June 6, 2014

Before McClure, C.J., Rivera, and Rodriguez, JJ.

(Do Not Publish)