



COURT OF APPEALS  
EIGHTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
EL PASO, TEXAS

MISTER IVORY,	§	
		No. 08-13-00213-CR
Appellant,	§	
		Appeal from the
v.	§	
		396th District Court
THE STATE OF TEXAS,	§	
		of Tarrant County, Texas
Appellee.	§	
		(TC# 1234891D)
	§	

**OPINION**

Appellant Mister Ivory waived a trial by jury and pleaded guilty to the offense of burglary of a habitation. The trial court deferred adjudication of guilt, placed Appellant on community supervision for five years, and ordered Appellant to pay \$1,600 in restitution, \$500 in attorney's fees, and \$274 in court costs.

The State later filed a petition to proceed to adjudication. After being admonished on the State's petition, Appellant entered an open plea of true to the acts alleged in paragraphs 1 and 2 of the petition. The trial court revoked Appellant's community supervision, found Appellant guilty of burglary of a habitation, and sentenced him to imprisonment for six years. Appellant appealed. We affirm.

Appellant's court-appointed counsel has moved to withdraw as counsel and has filed a brief in which he has concluded that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493, *reh. denied*, 388 U.S. 924, 87 S.Ct. 2094, 18 L.Ed.2d 1377 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why, in effect, there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. See *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex.Crim.App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553 (Tex.Crim.App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex.Crim.App. 1969). A copy of counsel's brief has been delivered to Appellant, and Appellant has been advised of his right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se brief. No *pro se* brief has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal and a discussion of the contentions advanced in counsel's brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the state.

The judgment is affirmed.

GUADALUPE RIVERA, Justice

July 11, 2014

Before McClure, C.J., Rivera, and Rodriguez, JJ.

(Do Not Publish)