

## In The

## Eleventh Court of Appeals

No. 11-15-00306-CR

JOSHUA MOLINAR, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 70th District Court Ector County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. A-42,498

## MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant, Joshua Molinar, pleaded guilty to four counts of criminally negligent homicide. The trial court convicted Appellant and assessed his punishment in accordance with the terms of the plea agreement, which included five years of community supervision. Subsequently, the State filed a motion to revoke community supervision. At the hearing on revocation, Appellant pleaded true to the ground alleged in the State's motion to revoke. The trial court found the

State's allegation to be true, revoked Appellant's community supervision, and assessed his punishment at confinement for two years. We dismiss the appeal.

Appellant's court-appointed counsel has filed a motion to withdraw. The motion is supported by a brief in which counsel professionally and conscientiously examines the record and applicable law and states that he has concluded that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. Counsel has provided Appellant with a copy of the brief, a copy of the motion to withdraw, an explanatory letter, and a copy of the reporter's record and the clerk's record. Counsel also advised Appellant of his right to review the record and file a response to counsel's brief. Appellant has filed a response in which he states that he has "decided to not pursue" his appeal and asks that it be dismissed.

Court-appointed counsel has complied with the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967); *Kelly v. State*, 436 S.W.3d 313 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014); *In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d 403 (Tex. Crim. App. 2008); *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969); and *Eaden v. State*, 161 S.W.3d 173 (Tex. App.—Eastland 2005, no pet.). In addressing an *Anders* brief and pro se response, a court of appeals may only determine (1) that the appeal is wholly frivolous and issue an opinion explaining that it has reviewed the record and finds no reversible error or (2) that arguable grounds for appeal exist and remand the cause to the trial court so that new counsel may be appointed to brief the issues. *Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d at 409; *Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

Following the procedures outlined in *Anders* and *Schulman*, we have independently reviewed the record, and we agree that the appeal is without merit and should be dismissed. *Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d at 409. The record from the

revocation hearing shows that Appellant pleaded true to the alleged violation of the

terms and conditions of his community supervision. In this regard, a plea of true

standing alone is sufficient to support a trial court's decision to revoke community

supervision. Moses v. State, 590 S.W.2d 469, 470 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.]

1979). Furthermore, absent a void judgment, issues relating to an original plea

proceeding may not be raised in a subsequent appeal from the revocation of

community supervision. Jordan v. State, 54 S.W.3d 783, 785–86 (Tex. Crim. App.

2001); Traylor v. State, 561 S.W.2d 492, 494 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978).

We note that counsel has the responsibility to advise Appellant that he may

file a petition for discretionary review with the clerk of the Texas Court of

Criminal Appeals seeking review by that court. TEX. R. APP. P. 48.4 ("In criminal

cases, the attorney representing the defendant on appeal shall, within five days

after the opinion is handed down, send his client a copy of the opinion and

judgment, along with notification of the defendant's right to file a pro se petition

for discretionary review under Rule 68."). Likewise, this court advises Appellant

that he may file a petition for discretionary review pursuant to Tex. R. App. P. 68.

The motion to withdraw is granted, and the appeal is dismissed.

PER CURIAM

February 11, 2016

Do not publish. See Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).

Panel consists of: Wright, C.J.,

Willson, J., and Bailey, J.

3