

**AFFIRM; and Opinion Filed March 27, 2014.**



**In The  
Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

---

**No. 05-12-01678-CR**

---

**CHARLES RICHARD VANDIVER, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the 194th Judicial District Court  
Dallas County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. F12-56764-M**

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Before Justices Bridges, O'Neill, and Brown  
Opinion by Justice O'Neill

A jury convicted Charles Richard Vandiver of assault involving family violence, found one enhancement paragraph true, and assessed punishment at twenty years' imprisonment and a \$2,500 fine. *See* TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. § 22.01(a)(1), (b)(2)(A) (West 2011); TEX. FAM. CODE ANN. §§ 71.0021, 71.005 (West 2008 & Supp. 2013). On appeal, appellant's attorney filed a brief in which she concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record showing why, in effect, there are no arguable grounds to advance. *See*

*High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978). Counsel delivered a copy of the brief to appellant.

Appellant filed a pro se response raising several issues. After reviewing counsel's brief, appellant's pro se response, and the record, we agree the appeal is frivolous and without merit. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005) (explaining appellate court's duty in *Anders* cases). We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal.

We affirm the trial court's judgment.

/Michael J. O'Neill/  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MICHAEL J. O'NEILL  
JUSTICE

Do Not Publish  
TEX. R. APP. P. 47

121678F.U05



**Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

JUDGMENT

CHARLES RICHARD VANDIVER,  
Appellant

No. 05-12-01678-CR      V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

Appeal from the 194th Judicial District  
Court of Dallas County, Texas (Tr.Ct.No.  
F12-56764-M).

Opinion delivered by Justice O'Neill,  
Justices Bridges and Brown participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the trial court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.

Judgment entered March 27, 2014.

/Michael J. O'Neill/  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MICHAEL J. O'NEILL  
JUSTICE