

DENY and Opinion Filed this 22nd day of April, 2014.



**In The
Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

No. 05-14-00438-CV

IN RE TROY RANDELL EDMON, Relator

**Original Proceeding from the 195th Judicial District Court
Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. F-13-71585**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Justices Moseley, Fillmore, and Evans
Opinion by Justice Evans

Relator files this petition for writ of mandamus arguing that the trial court has abused its discretion by failing to take action on his petition for his pretrial writ of habeas corpus and by failing to refer his pro se motion for recusal to the administrative judge for determination. The facts and issues are well known to the parties, so we need not recount them herein.

This Court has not been given general supervisory control over district and county courts. *Texas Emp. Ins. Ass'n v. Kirby*, 150 S.W.2d 123, 126 (Tex. Civ. App.—Dallas 1941, no writ); *see also Guillory v. Davis*, 527 S.W.2d 465, 466 (Tex. Civ. App.—Beaumont 1975, no writ). In a criminal case, an applicant for mandamus relief must establish that he has no adequate remedy at law to redress the harm he has suffered, and he must show that the act he seeks to compel or prohibit does not involve a discretionary or judicial decision. *Simon v. Levario*, 306 S.W.3d 318, 320 (Tex. Crim. App. 2009). The record before the Court does not show that relator has met this

burden. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 52.8(a). Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** the petition for writ of mandamus.

/David Evans/
DAVID EVANS
JUSTICE

140438F.P05