AFFIRMED; Opinion Filed September 25, 2015.



## In The Court of Appeals Fifth District of Texas at Dallas

No. 05-14-01483-CR

#### JEREMY DEMONE WILLIAMS, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 194th Judicial District Court Dallas County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. F10-30956-M

#### **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Before Justices Lang, Evans, and Whitehill Opinion by Justice Lang

Jeremy Demone Williams appeals his conviction, following the adjudication of his guilt,

for burglary of a habitation. *See* TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. § 30.02(a)(1) (West 2011). The trial court assessed punishment at five years' imprisonment. On appeal, appellant's attorney filed a brief in which he concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record showing why, in effect, there are no arguable grounds to advance. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–12 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978). Counsel delivered a copy of the brief to appellant. We advised appellant of his right to file a pro se response, but he

did not file a pro se response. *See Kelly v. State*, 436 S.W.3d 313, 319–21 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014) (identifying duties of appellate courts and counsel in *Anders* cases).

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005) (explaining appellate court's duty in *Anders* cases). We agree the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal.

We affirm the trial court's judgment.

<u>/ Douglas S. Lang/</u> DOUGLAS S. LANG JUSTICE

Do Not Publish TEX. R. APP. P. 47 141483F.U05



# Court of Appeals Fifth District of Texas at Dallas

### JUDGMENT

JEREMY DEMONE WILLIAMS, Appellant

No. 05-14-01483-CR V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

Appeal from the 194th Judicial District Court of Dallas County, Texas (Tr.Ct.No. F10-30956-M). Opinion delivered by Justice Lang, Justices Evans and Whitehill participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the trial court's judgment adjudicating guilt is **AFFIRMED**.

Judgment entered this 25th of September, 2015.