

**Affirmed and Opinion Filed February 23, 2017**



**In The  
Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

---

**No. 05-16-00423-CR**

---

**ZACKERY LOUIS WILLIAMSON, Appellant  
V.  
THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the 292nd Judicial District Court  
Dallas County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. F12-47161-V**

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Before Justices Francis, Lang-Miers, and Whitehill  
Opinion by Justice Francis

Zackery Louis Williamson waived a jury and pleaded guilty to aggravated robbery with a deadly weapon. After finding appellant guilty, the trial court sentenced him to ten years in prison. On appeal, appellant's attorney filed a brief in which she concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record showing no arguable grounds to advance. See *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–12 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978). Counsel delivered a copy of the brief to appellant. We advised appellant of his right to file a pro se response, but he did not file a pro se response. See *Kelly v. State*, 436 S.W.3d 313, 319–21 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014) (identifying duties of appellate courts and counsel in *Anders* cases).

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005) (explaining appellate court's duty in *Anders* cases). We agree the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal.

We affirm the trial court's judgment.

/Molly Francis/  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MOLLY FRANCIS  
JUSTICE

Do Not Publish  
TEX. R. APP. P. 47  
160423F.U05



**Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

**JUDGMENT**

ZACKERY LOUIS WILLIAMSON,  
Appellant

No. 05-16-00423-CR      V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 292nd Judicial District  
Court, Dallas County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. F12-47161-V.  
Opinion delivered by Justice Francis.  
Justices Lang-Miers and Whitehill  
participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the judgment of the trial court is **AFFIRMED**.

Judgment entered February 23, 2017.