

## In The Court of Appeals Hifth District of Texas at Dallas

No. 05-19-01064-CV

## IN RE JAMES D. DONDERO AND NEXBANK SSB, Relators

Original Proceeding from the 193rd Judicial District Court
Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. DC-19-04666

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Before Justices Whitehill, Partida-Kipness, and Pedersen, III Opinion by Justice Pedersen, III

Before the Court is relators' September 3, 2019 petition for writ of mandamus in which relators contend the trial court abused its discretion by granting real party in interest's motion to compel the deposition of relator James D. Dondero. To be entitled to mandamus relief, relators must show both that the trial court has clearly abused its discretion and that relators have no adequate appellate remedy. *In re Prudential Ins. Co. of Am.*, 148 S.W.3d 124, 135–36 (Tex. 2004) (orig. proceeding). After reviewing the petition, real party's response to the petition, and the mandamus record, we conclude relators have not shown they are entitled to the relief requested.

Accordingly, we deny relators' petition for writ of mandamus. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 52.8(a) (the court must deny the petition if the court determines relator is not entitled to the

1			1 . \	
ra	125	COLL	$\alpha$ ht	١
101	пст	sou	וווצ	١.

BILL PEDERSEN. III BILL PEDERSEN. III JUSTICE

Whitehill, J., dissenting without opinion.

191064f.p05