

Opinion issued April 16, 2024



In The
Court of Appeals
For The
First District of Texas

NO. 01-23-00483-CV

**IN RE MICHAEL B. MARTIN AND MARTIN WALTON LAW FIRM,
Relators**

Original Proceeding on Petition for Writ of Mandamus

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Relators, Michael B. Martin and Martin Walton Law Firm, filed a petition for writ of mandamus challenging the trial court's June 2, 2023 order granting the request of real party in interest 3M Company to take pre-suit depositions and to obtain documents from Martin and the law firm pursuant to Texas Rule of Civil

Procedure 202.¹ Relators’ petition sought “a stay and reversal of [the June 2, 2023 order] authorizing 3M Company to conduct Rule 202 Pre-Suit Depositions of Michael B. Martin, individually, and as a corporate representative of Martin Walton Law Firm . . . and to produce records[.]”

Our review of relators’ mandamus petition reflects that relators have failed to establish that they are entitled to mandamus relief. Accordingly, we deny relators’ petition for writ of mandamus. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 52.8(a). We lift our stay order of June 30, 2023. All pending motions are dismissed as moot.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Goodman, Landau, and Hightower.

Justice Goodman, concurring in the result.

¹ The underlying case is *3M Company v. Michael B. Martin and Martin Walton Law Firm*, cause number 23-CV-0488, pending in the 10th District Court of Galveston County, Texas, the Hon. Kerry L. Neves, presiding.