## Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed May 13, 2010.



#### In The

# Fourteenth Court of Appeals

\_\_\_\_\_

NO. 14-09-00384-CR

\_\_\_\_\_

# **EVER SIMUHE SANCHEZ, Appellant**

V.

# THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 337th District court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 1172648

### MEMORANDUM OPINION

A jury convicted appellant of burglary of a habitation with intent to commit sexual assault and made an affirmative finding on use of a deadly weapon. The jury sentenced appellant to confinement for twenty years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice. Appellant filed a timely notice of appeal.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which she concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirement of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record and demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se response. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 510 (Tex. (Tex. Crim. App.1991). As of this date, no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. We do not address the merits of each claim raised in an *Anders* brief or a pro se response when we have determined there are no arguable grounds for review. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

#### PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Brown, Sullivan, and Christopher.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.2(b).