Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed June 24, 2010.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-09-00738-CR NO. 14-09-00744-CR

WILLIE KOEHLER, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 23rd District Court Brazoria County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 58,140

MEMORANDUM OPINION

A jury convicted appellant of aggravated sexual assault (count one) and indecency with a child (count two). The jury sentenced appellant to confinement for life (count one) and for twenty years (count two) in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice. The trial court denied the State's motion to stack the sentences and ordered they run concurrently. In both counts, the jury assessed a \$10,000 fine. Appellant filed a timely notice of appeal.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirement of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record and demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se response. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 510 (Tex. (Tex. Crim. App.1991). As of this date, no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. We are not to address the merits of each claim raised in an *Anders* brief or a pro se response when we have determined there are no arguable grounds for review. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Brown, Sullivan, and Christopher. Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).