

Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed April 29, 2010.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

**NO. 14-09-01019-CR
NO. 14-09-01022-CR**

JULIO ALVAREZ, JR., Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 184th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. 1186146 & 1186147**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant entered pleas of guilty to the offenses of intoxication assault and failure to stop and render aid. On November 23, 2009, the trial court sentenced appellant to confinement for seven years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice for each offense. Appellant filed a notice of appeal.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes the appeals are wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirement of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), presenting a professional evaluation of the

record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se response. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 510 (Tex. (Tex. Crim. App.1991). As of this date, no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree the appeals are wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the state.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Anderson, Frost, and Seymore.
Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).