

Petition for Writ of Mandamus Dismissed and Memorandum Opinion filed
November 4, 2010.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-10-01027-CR
NO. 14-10-01028-CR
NO. 14-10-01029-CR

IN-RE BRADLEY HUGHES DUNBAR, Relator

**ORIGINAL PROCEEDING
WRIT OF MANDAMUS**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

On October 22, 2010, relator Bradley Hughes Dunbar filed a petition for writ of mandamus in this court in which he complains that the Harris County Justice of the Peace for Precinct 8, Place 2, has failed to respond to his motion invoking his right to a speedy trial on three misdemeanor charges, which are pending in cause numbers BC82C0067190, BC82C0067191, and BC82C0067192.

This court's mandamus jurisdiction is governed by section 22.221 of the Texas Government Code. Section 22.221 expressly limits the mandamus jurisdiction of the courts of appeals to: (1) writs against a district court judge or county court judge in the

court of appeals' district, and (2) writs necessary to enforce the court of appeals' jurisdiction. Tex. Gov't Code § 22.221.

We lack mandamus power over justice courts. *Id.*; see *Easton v. Franks*, 842 S.W.2d 772, 773 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1992, orig. proceeding). We have no related proceeding; therefore, issuance of the writ is not necessary to enforce our jurisdiction.

Accordingly, we dismiss relator's petition for writ of mandamus.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Chief Justice Hedges and Justices Yates and Anderson.

Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).