

Court Of Appeals
Fourth Court of Appeals District of Texas
San Antonio



MEMORANDUM OPINION

No. 04-08-00463-CR

Antonio A. **MATA**,
Appellant

v.

The **STATE** of Texas,
Appellee

From the 38th Judicial District Court, Uvalde County, Texas
Trial Court No. 07-03-10,898-CR-A
Honorable Mark Luitjen, Judge Presiding

PER CURIAM

Sitting: Karen Angelini, Justice
Santee Bryan Marion, Justice
Phylis J. Speedlin, Justice

Delivered and Filed: August 29, 2008

DISMISSED

Pursuant to a plea-bargain agreement, Antonio A. Mata pled nolo contendere to murder and was sentenced to twenty years of imprisonment in accordance with the terms of his plea-bargain agreement. The trial court signed a certification of defendant's right to appeal stating that this "is a plea-bargain case, and the defendant has NO right of appeal." *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(a)(2). After Mata filed a notice of appeal, the trial court clerk sent copies of the certification and notice of appeal

to this court. *See id.* 25.2(e). The clerk’s record, which includes the trial court’s rule 25.2(a)(2) certification, has been filed. *See id.* 25.2(d).

“In a plea bargain case ... a defendant may appeal only: (A) those matters that were raised by written motion filed and ruled on before trial, or (B) after getting the trial court’s permission to appeal.” TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(a)(2). The clerk’s record, which contains a written plea bargain, establishes the punishment assessed by the court does not exceed the punishment recommended by the prosecutor and agreed to by the defendant. *See id.* 25.2(a)(2). The clerk’s record does not include a written motion filed and ruled upon before trial; nor does it indicate that the trial court gave its permission to appeal. The trial court’s certification, therefore, appears to accurately reflect that this is a plea-bargain case and that Mata does not have a right to appeal. We must dismiss an appeal “if a certification that shows the defendant has the right of appeal has not been made part of the record.” *Id.* 25.2(d).

We, therefore, warned Mata that this appeal would be dismissed pursuant to Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 25.2(d), unless an amended trial court certification showing that he had the right to appeal was made part of the appellate record. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(d), 37.1; *Daniels v. State*, 110 S.W.3d 174 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 2003, order). No such amended trial court certification has been filed. This appeal is, therefore, dismissed pursuant to rule 25.2(d).

PER CURIAM

DO NOT PUBLISH