



**Fourth Court of Appeals**  
**San Antonio, Texas**

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

No. 04-13-00256-CV

**IN THE INTEREST OF M.D.R. and A.M.R., Children**

From the 57th Judicial District Court, Bexar County, Texas  
Trial Court No. 2011-PA-02345  
Honorable Charles E. Montemayor, Judge Presiding<sup>1</sup>

Opinion by: Marialyn Barnard, Justice

Sitting: Marialyn Barnard, Justice  
Rebeca C. Martinez, Justice  
Luz Elena D. Chapa, Justice

Delivered and Filed: September 18, 2013

**MOTION TO WITHDRAW GRANTED; AFFIRMED**

Appellant father, J.R., appeals the trial court's judgment terminating his parental rights to his children, M.D.R. and A.M.R. The Texas Department of Family and Protective Services ("the Department") moved to have appellant's parental rights terminated on a variety of grounds. *See* TEX. FAM. CODE ANN. §§ 161.001(1)(D)-(F), (H), (I), (K), (M)-(Q); 161.003(a) (West 2008 & Supp. 2012). After a bench trial, the trial court found appellant's parental rights should be terminated because he: (1) constructively abandoned his children; and (2) failed to comply with the provision of a court order that established the actions necessary for him to obtain the return of

---

<sup>1</sup> The Honorable Antonio Arteaga is the presiding judge of the 57th Judicial District Court of Bexar County, Texas. The termination order was signed by Associate Judge Charles E. Montemayor.

his children. See TEX. FAM. CODE ANN. §§ 161.001(1)(N), (O), (Q). The trial court also determined termination would be in the best interest of the child. *Id.* § 161.001(2).

Appellant's court-appointed appellate attorney has filed a motion to withdraw and a brief containing a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating there are no arguable grounds to be advanced and concluding the appeal is frivolous. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). See *In re R.R.*, No. 04-03-00096-CV, 2003 WL 21157944, \*4 (Tex. App.—San Antonio May 21, 2003, order) (applying *Anders* procedure to appeals from orders terminating parental rights), *disp. on merits*, 2003 WL 22080522 (Tex. App.—San Antonio Sept. 10, 2003, no pet.) (mem. op.). Appellant was provided a copy of the brief and informed of his right to file his own brief. See *Nichols v. State*, 954 S.W.2d 83, 85-86 (Tex. App.—San Antonio July 23, 1997, no pet.); *In re R.R.*, 2003 WL 21157944, at \*4. Appellant did not file a *pro se* brief.

We have reviewed the record and the attorney's brief and we agree with counsel that the appellate points do not present a substantial question for appellate review. Accordingly, we hold the trial court did not err in terminating appellant's parental rights. We grant the motion to withdraw and affirm the trial court's judgment.

Marialyn Barnard, Justice