In The

Court of Appeals

Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

NO. 09-09-00073-CR

LAYLA NASIBA RUBEN, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 252nd District Court Jefferson County, Texas Trial Cause Nos. 08-03824

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Pursuant to a plea bargain agreement, appellant Layla Nasiba Ruben¹ pled guilty to aggravated assault. The trial court found the evidence was sufficient to find Ruben guilty, but deferred finding her guilty, placed her on community supervision for seven years, and assessed a fine of \$1000. The State subsequently filed a motion to revoke Ruben's unadjudicated community supervision. Ruben pled "true" to one alleged violation of the

¹Both the indictment and the judgment refer to appellant as "Layla Ruben."

terms of her community supervision. The trial court found that Ruben violated the conditions of her community supervision, found her guilty, and assessed punishment at fifteen years of confinement.

Ruben's appellate counsel filed a brief that presents counsel's professional evaluation of the record and concludes the appeal is frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). On April 30, 2009, we granted an extension of time for appellant to file a *pro se* brief. We received no response from the appellant. We reviewed the appellate record, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that no arguable issues support the appeal. Therefore, we find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the appeal. *Compare Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We affirm the trial court's judgment.²

AFFIRMED.

CHARLES KREGER Justice

Submitted on August 11, 2009 Opinion Delivered August 26, 2009 Do not publish

Before McKeithen, C.J., Gaultney and Kreger, JJ.

²Appellant may challenge our decision in these cases by filing a petition for discretionary review. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.