

**In The**  
***Court of Appeals***  
***Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont***

---

**NO. 09-09-00130-CV**

---

**IN THE INTEREST OF J.J.H., K.J.H., F.D.H. AND H.P.S.**

---

---

**On Appeal from the 279th District Court  
Jefferson County, Texas  
Trial Cause No. F-205,665**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

We notified the parties that our jurisdiction was not apparent from the notice of appeal and that we would dismiss the appeal for want of jurisdiction unless we received a response showing grounds for continuing the appeal. The appellant did not file a response.

Appellant seeks to appeal the trial court's "First Amended Order For Protection of a Child In an Emergency and Notice of Hearing." Generally, only final judgments are appealable. *Lehmann v. Har-Con Corp.*, 39 S.W.3d 191, 195 (Tex. 2001). Interlocutory orders are appealable only if a statute permits appeal. *Bally Total Fitness Corp. v. Jackson*, 53 S.W.3d 352, 352 (Tex. 2001). No statute provides for an interlocutory appeal of a temporary order such as the one at issue in this case. *See* TEX. FAM. CODE § 262.112(b)

(Vernon 2008) (providing for “an expedited appeal on a ruling by a court that the child may not be removed from the child’s home.”); *see generally In the Interest of N.J.G.*, 980 S.W.2d 764, 767 (Tex. App.--San Antonio 1998, no pet.). Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for want of jurisdiction.

APPEAL DISMISSED FOR WANT OF JURISDICTION.

---

DAVID GAULTNEY  
Justice

Opinion Delivered May 14, 2009

Before Gaultney, Kreger, and Horton, JJ.