In The

Court of Appeals

Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

NO. 09-09-00195-CR NO. 09-09-00196-CR NO. 09-09-00197-CR

ERIC JAMES WILLIAMS, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 163rd District Court Orange County, Texas Trial Cause Nos. B-090042-R, B-090045-R, and B-090048-R

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Eric James Williams entered non-negotiated guilty pleas to three separate indictments for aggravated robbery. *See* TEX. PEN. CODE ANN. § 29.03(a)(3) (Vernon 2003). In each case, the trial court convicted Williams and assessed punishment at thirty years of confinement in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division. The trial court ordered that the sentences be served concurrently.

On appeal, Williams's counsel filed a brief that presents counsel's professional evaluation of the records and concludes the appeals are frivolous. See Anders v.

California, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). On December 3, 2009, we granted an extension of time for the appellant to file a *pro se* brief. We received no response from appellant.

We reviewed the appellate records, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that no arguable issues support the appeals. Therefore, we find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the appeals. *Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005); *cf. Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We affirm the trial court's judgments.¹

AFFIRMED.

STEVE McKEITHEN Chief Justice

Submitted on April 7, 2010 Opinion Delivered April 21, 2010 Do Not Publish

Before McKeithen, C.J., Kreger and Horton, JJ.

¹Appellant may challenge our decision in these cases by filing petitions for discretionary review. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.