

**In The**  
***Court of Appeals***  
***Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont***

---

**NO. 09-09-00535-CR**

---

**ANGELA LEA DUNAWAY a/k/a ANGELA DUNAWAY, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

---

**On Appeal from the 252nd District Court  
Jefferson County, Texas  
Trial Cause No. 08-04472**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Pursuant to a plea bargain agreement, appellant Angela Lea Dunaway a/k/a Angela Dunaway<sup>1</sup> pled guilty to forgery. The trial court found Dunaway guilty and assessed punishment at two years of confinement in a state jail facility, then suspended imposition of sentence and placed Dunaway on community supervision for five years. The State subsequently filed a motion to revoke Dunaway’s community supervision. Dunaway pled “true” to two violations of the terms of the community supervision order. The trial court

---

<sup>1</sup>Appellant is further identified in the record as “Angela Coudrain.”

found that Dunaway violated the terms of the community supervision order, revoked Dunaway's community supervision, and imposed a sentence of two years of confinement in a state jail facility.

Dunaway's appellate counsel filed a brief that presents counsel's professional evaluation of the record and concludes the appeal is frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). On March 25, 2010, we granted an extension of time for appellant to file a *pro se* brief. We received no response from the appellant.

We have reviewed the appellate record, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that no arguable issues support an appeal. Therefore, we find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the appeal. *Compare Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We affirm the trial court's judgment.<sup>2</sup>

AFFIRMED.

---

CHARLES KREGER  
Justice

Submitted on August 5, 2010  
Opinion Delivered August 18, 2010  
Do Not Publish

Before McKeithen, C.J., Gaultney and Kreger, JJ.

---

<sup>2</sup> Appellant may challenge our decision in this case by filing a petition for discretionary review. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.