

In The
Court of Appeals
Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

NO. 09-10-00008-CR
NO. 09-10-00009-CR

GERAUD BOYD, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the Criminal District Court
Jefferson County, Texas
Trial Cause Nos. 97269 and 97335

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Pursuant to plea bargain agreements, appellant Geraud Boyd entered guilty pleas to two charges of burglary of a habitation. In each case, the trial court found the evidence sufficient to find Boyd guilty, but deferred further proceedings, placed Boyd on community supervision for ten years, and assessed a fine of \$1,000. The State subsequently filed a motion to revoke Boyd’s unadjudicated community supervision in both cases. Boyd pled “true” in both cases to several violations of the conditions of his community supervision. In each case, the trial court found that Boyd violated the

conditions of his community supervision, found him guilty, and assessed punishment at six years of confinement. The trial court ordered that the sentences were to run concurrently.

Boyd's appellate counsel filed a brief that presents counsel's professional evaluation of the records and concludes the appeals are frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). On March 4, 2010, we granted an extension of time in each case for appellant to file a *pro se* brief. We received no response from appellant. We reviewed the appellate records, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that no arguable issues support the appeals. Therefore, we find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the appeals. *Compare Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We affirm the trial court's judgments.¹

AFFIRMED.

STEVE McKEITHEN
Chief Justice

Submitted on June 8, 2010
Opinion Delivered July 7, 2010
Do Not Publish

Before McKeithen, C.J., Gaultney and Kreger, JJ.

¹ Appellant may challenge our decision in these cases by filing a petition for discretionary review. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.